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ABSTRACT

Pelagic forage fishes play critical roles in productive marine food webs by providing a link between zooplankton and piscivores and transferring energy from feeding grounds to other ecosystems. The amount of energy moved to higher trophic levels or new systems is directly linked to the consumption rate of pelagic forage fishes. In the Gulf of Maine, Atlantic herring (*Clupea harengus*) is the dominant forage fish; the purpose of this study is to determine their specific consumption rate (g prey/g fish/day). Using fish collected in autumn 2012 and spring 2013, we applied a mercury mass-balance model to estimate individual and population consumption of Atlantic herring in the Gulf of Maine. Our results suggest that the specific consumption rate increases from age 1.5 until age 6 (years) and then asymptotes. These estimates were contradictory to much of what exists in the literature; for most fishes, specific consumption estimates decrease with age and overall are much lower than our estimates. We looked further into our results to explore the underlying cause of the increase in specific consumption rate. We combined the mercury mass-balance model with a Wisconsin (WI) bioenergetics model and ran several simulations adjusting the most sensitive factors in each—prey mercury in the former and activity in the latter. The results of our simulations showed that an increase in activity relative to age and mass can best explain the increase in the consumption rate of Adult herring. This suggests that an ontogenetic shift to migration in adult Atlantic herring results in increased energy demand with age, and ultimately increased consumption.
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CHAPTER 1: LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1 The Gulf of Maine

The Gulf of Maine lies in a transition zone between the Labrador Current and the Gulf Stream, which creates a productive region of vertical mixing over Georges Bank that supports multiple fisheries (Fry 1988; Pickart et al. 1999; Pershing et al. 2001). Over the last four decades, fluctuations in the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) have altered the currents, affecting the Gulf of Maine’s temperature, stratification, and salinity (Pershing et al. 2005; Green and Pershing 2007). Records show that in the late 1980s, atmospheric pressure at sea level in the central Arctic began to decline precipitously. This change in pressure increased the outflow of low saline water from the Arctic, through the Labrador Sea, resulting in a freshening of the shelf waters of the North Atlantic. The shallow outflow of relatively cold, fresh water, which had previously flown through the Fram Strait east of Greenland, resulted in colder, fresher, and more stratified North Atlantic waters in the 1990s compared to the 1980s (Green and Pershing 2007).

The largest single-year decrease in the NAO index in the 20th century occurred in 1996 (Greene and Pershing 2003). The decrease in atmospheric pressure led to a further shift in circulation on the Slope Sea on the North Atlantic continental shelf. Consequently, by 1998 the Labrador subarctic slope water completely replaced the Atlantic temperate slope water in the deep basins of the Gulf of Maine. This scenario, known as a minimal modal state, dramatically affected the plankton communities in the Gulf of Maine, reducing the abundance of key species such as the calanoid copepod...
Calanus finmarchicus. Within the next few years the NAO returned to positive values and by 1999 the Slope Sea had returned to its previous conditions, a maximal modal state (Green and Pershing 2003).

1.2 Atlantic herring ecology

Atlantic herring, Clupea harengus (“herring” hereafter), is the dominant fish species in the North Atlantic. The species is pelagic, forms large schools and shoals, and feeds primarily on zooplankton (Stevenson and Scott 2005). The general range of herring extends in patches from the Straits of Gibraltar in Europe to southern New Jersey (Bigelow and Schroeder 1953). In the North Atlantic, the species ranges from Labrador to Cape Hatteras. Herring can live up to 18 years and grow in excess of 390 mm. In the western North Atlantic, however, herring rarely exceed 12 years or 350 mm. Adult herring make long migrations each year, traveling up to 1,000 km from the Gulf of Maine south, down the coast (Kanwit and Libby 2009).

Herring feed heavily on C. finmarchicus and northern krill, Meganyctiphanes norvegica, in spring and summer (Darbyson et al. 2005; Bailey and Pershing 2013), and spawn from August to November in shallower water depending on their location in the Gulf of Maine (Sinclair and Tremblay 1984; Kelly and Stevenson 1985). Herring larvae overwinter in the inshore areas of the Gulf of Maine, while adults migrate as far south as Chesapeake Bay (Stevenson and Scott 2005).

Herring abundance has fluctuated greatly since the 1960s, with a collapse in the
1970s caused by overfishing, followed by recovery in the late 1980s (Overholtz et al. 2008). The spawning stock biomass has been fairly constant since the 1990s (NFSC 2012). Fluctuations have been out of phase with groundfish populations that prey on herring, with some suggestion that the relatively high herring biomass contributes to the lack of recovery of groundfish populations (Bakun et al. 2009; but see Brodziak et al. 2004).

1.3 *Calanus finmarchicus* Ecology

*Calanus finmarchicus* dominates the zooplankton community in the Gulf of Maine (Fish 1936; Runge 1988). The copepod provides a key link between planktonic micro-organisms and benthic and pelagic fishes, and can be used to predict the distribution and condition of higher trophic level predators (Runge 1988; Brander et al. 2001; Campbell et al. 2001; Michaud and Taggart 2007). The range of *C. finmarchicus* extends from Cape Hatteras to the Arctic Ocean (Fleminger and Hulseman 1977), and the life cycle involves six nauplius stages (N1–6) followed by six copepodite stages (C1–6); C6 is the mature adult stage (Lebour 1916).

In the Gulf of Maine, *C. finmarchicus* take approximately one month to develop from the N1 to the C1 stage, two weeks to develop to C5, and an additional month for the final molt to the C6 stage (Fish 1936). In late winter/early spring C5 copepodites molt to the adult C6 stage before the spring phytoplankton bloom (Miller et al. 1991; Plourde et al. 2001). Males develop from the upper size range of the C5 cohort and females typically
develop from the smaller size range (Miller et al. 1991). In laboratory studies, food quality, food quantity, and container size all positively influenced the proportion of males in the population (Campbell et al. 2001). After mating, the C6 adults die, with males dying off before females (Fish 1936).

Distinct cohorts of *C. finmarchicus* have been observed in some areas. For example, in the western Gulf of Maine there are two distinct spawning periods: the first in late March/early April and the second in late June/early July. A third, less distinct spawning event, occurs in September. In the eastern side of the Gulf of Maine, however, two less-defined spawning events take place, lagging behind the western spawning by about a month (Fish 1936). The duration of the spawning season and the timing of emergence from the winter diapause determine the number of cohorts per year. The rate of development of *C. finmarchicus* varies throughout their range with changing temperature and food availability (Fish 1936; Campbell et al. 2001). Size and mass are inversely related to temperature and positively correlated with food availability (Campbell et al. 2001).

If the final spawning event for *C. finmarchicus* is too late in the fall, that cohort will not be able to reach the C5 stage to overwinter and will fail. Up to 95% of *C. finmarchicus* overwinter as C5 individuals (Bamstedt and Tande 1988). During this time, C5s have lipid sacs filled with wax esters (Miller et al. 1998; Evanson et al. 2000). During diapause, C5s settle in the water column to a depth of approximately 500 m (Miller et al. 1991). The copepod does not feed during the winter and consequently loses mass as it metabolizes lipid stores (Fiksen and Carlotti 1998). Although their
metabolisms slow significantly, C5s are not completely immobile and will still exhibit avoidance behavior when molested (Bamstedt and Tande 1988; Miller et al. 1991). Several hypotheses exist about what causes the arousal of individuals from diapause. The first is that an increasing photoperiod rouses \textit{C. finmarchicus}. This hypothesis was tested on \textit{C. helgolandicus} with success (Grigg and Bardwell 1982). Other factors, however, may also be at play. For instance, another hypothesis is that an endogenous, long-range timer triggers arousal (Miller et al. 1991). A final hypothesis is that there is no mechanism to the arousal—there is simply an end to the diapause-maintaining factors that keep individuals in their resting phase (Miller et al. 1991).

\textbf{1.4 Wasp-Waist Systems}

Wasp-waist ecosystems are characterized by intermediate trophic levels with low species richness and high relative abundance, which create a vector for energy transfer from lower to higher trophic levels (Rice 1995; Bakun 1996; Jordan et al. 2005). In highly productive marine ecosystems the link is made by small pelagic forage fish between plankton and piscivores (Cury et al. 2000; Chase 2002; Hunt and McKinnell 2006). In addition to moving energy up the food web, migrating forage fish (e.g., alewife \textit{Alosa pseudoharengus}, herring) move energy from feeding grounds to less productive marine and aquatic systems (Durbin et al. 1979; Polis et al. 1997; Varpe et al. 2005). Energy subsidies to terrestrial systems by forage fishes include predation by seabirds, fishing, and use as fertilizer or animal feed (Holt 1996; Wanless et al. 2005). Forage
fishes are affected by overfishing, climate change, and flux in plankton community, and fluctuations in their abundance can have a significant impact on the entire ecosystem (Cyterski et al. 2002; Frank et al. 2005; Albert and Taconand 2009; Bakun et al. 2009).

1.5 Trophic Cascades

Reduction of top predators can lead to trophic cascades where small pelagic fishes increase, large zooplankton decrease, and phytoplankton increase (Reid et al. 1999; Frank et al. 2005; Casini et al. 2008; Varpe and Fiksen 2010). A dramatic shift with increases of small pelagic fishes, such as clupeids, can restructure the zooplankton community and negatively affect the larvae of top predators through predation and competition, reducing their recruitment (Casini et al. 2009; Österblom et al. 2007). Shifts in the stable state are driven first by a reduction in upper trophic level predators and then maintained by density-dependent consumption by small pelagic fish (Casini et al. 2009; Österblom et al. 2007). Bottom-up control on higher trophic levels by increased abundances of small pelagic fish can “trap” their predators at low abundances by preying on or out-competing early life stages of their predators (Cury et al. 2000; Bakun 2006; Fauchald et al. 2011).

Overfishing can result in a disruption of top-down controls exhibited by apex predators, while climate change influences plankton biomass and bottom up forcing in marine ecosystems (Casini et al. 2008; Bailey and Pershing 2013). Numerous studies have examined top-down (Reid et al. 2004; Frank et al. 2005; Casini et al. 2009; Varpe and Fiksen 2010) or bottom-up (Richardson and Schoeman 2004; Pershing et al. 2005;
Buren et al. 2014) dynamics, and there is a divide in the literature about which is the driving force of change. If the process is bottom-up and prey is limiting, we would expect the consumption demand by herring populations to be correlated to the available zooplankton biomass.
CHAPTER 2: AGE SPECIFIC CONSUMPTION ESTIMATES FOR ATLANTIC HERRING IN THE GULF OF MAINE

2.1. Introduction

Herring occupy a key intermediate trophic level in many productive marine food webs and serve as a vector of energy transfer from plankton to piscivores (Cury et al. 2000; Chase 2002; Hunt and McKinnell 2006). Atlantic herring (Clupea harengus) is the dominant pelagic forage fish in the North Atlantic (Bakun et al. 2009). Over the last several decades, significant fluxes in Atlantic herring biomass throughout the North Atlantic have been correlated with shifts in the plankton community structure and groundfish stocks (Overholtz 2002; Frank et al. 2005; Greene and Pershing 2007; Casini et al. 2009; Bailey and Pershing 2013). In the Gulf of Maine, herring spawning stock biomass has fluctuated by more than an order of magnitude since 1965 when assessments began. The stock declined precipitously in the 1970s (to 53,000 MT from a high of 700,000 MT in the 1960s), recovered through the 1980s and 1990s (to a new high of 840,000 MT), declined again until 2010 (to 300,000 MT), and most recently has increased (518,000 MT) (Northeast Fisheries Science Center 2012). In addition to changing biomass, herring age structure has also varied over the last decade, most recently declining from 4.5 years in 1999 to just over 3 years old in 2011 (Northeast Fisheries Science Center 2012).

Mounting evidence suggests that the structure of the Gulf of Maine food web is controlled by bottom-up forces including sea-surface temperature and plankton densities (Greene and Pershing 2007; Greene 2013). The energy-dense copepod, Calanus...
*finmarchicus*, is the primary prey of herring in the North Atlantic (Darbyson *et al.* 2003; Varpe *et al.* 2005). The abundance of this copepod is difficult to assess and can fluctuate by orders of magnitude over several years (Bailey and Pershing 2013). Estimates of how much *C. finmarchicus* is consumed by Atlantic herring populations will help to better forecast how flux in *C. finmarchicus* abundance will translate through the system.

Adult herring on both sides of the North Atlantic make extensive annual migrations between feeding, spawning, and over-wintering grounds (Stevenson and Scott 2005; Varpe *et al.* 2005). Tagged herring released in the Gulf of Maine and southern New England have been recovered up to 1000 km away (Kanwit and Libby 2009). Age-based estimates for specific consumption rates have been calculated for herring in the Baltic Sea (Rudstam 1988). However, the bioenergetics model used to make those estimates (Kitchell *et al.* 1977) has been criticized for its sensitivity to, and underestimation of, fish activity and subsequent consumption estimates (Rowan and Rassmussen 1996). Contaminant mass-balance models have been used to estimate consumption independently of fish activity (Rowan and Rassmussen 1996; Trudel *et al.* 2000; Trudel and Rasmussen 2001). The highly migratory nature of herring makes contaminant mass-balance a plausible approach to modeling consumption because it eliminates the need to estimate the energetic cost of their migrations.

The purpose of this study was to provide an estimate of specific consumption rates at age for Gulf of Maine Atlantic herring using a mercury-mass-balance model (Trudel *et al.* 2000; Trudel and Rasmussen 2001). We used contemporary estimates of mercury loads in herring and their primary prey *C. finmarchicus*, with historic population structure
estimates of herring, to estimate specific and total annual consumption rates from 1999 to 2011.

2.2 Methods

Sample collection

Herring were collected in the Gulf of Maine (Jeffreys Ledge and Jordan Basin) and on Georges Bank by National Marine Fisheries Service bottom trawl surveys during fall 2012 and spring 2013. Fish were frozen immediately and processed in the laboratory within three months of capture. Total length (mm), wet mass (g), and sex were recorded for each fish and otoliths were extracted for age estimation.

Fish collected in the spring were given integer ages (1, 2, 3…) in years. For fish captured in the fall, interim months were accounted for by adding six months, resulting in ages 1.5, 2.5, 3.5… years. Growth (g/g/day) was estimated based on the difference in mean mass between cohorts.

*Calanus finmarchicus* were collected in the Gulf of Maine from Wilkinson Basin (250 m depth) and Jeffreys Ledge (50 m depth) using two dual-ring net plankton tows in autumn/winter 2012 and frozen at -80°C until mercury analysis.

Total mercury analysis

Whole fish were homogenized individually and a subsample of approximately 15 g of homogenate per fish was refrozen until the mercury analysis. Fish from both sampled areas in the Gulf of Maine were selected for analysis to cover the observed range of sizes. Total mercury was measured in accordance with U.S. EPA Method 7473 using a
Milestone DMA-80 Direct Mercury Analyzer (Milestone Srl, Bergamo, Italy) at Clarkson University (Potsdam, NY). Detailed methods are described in Zananski et al. (2011). The total mercury content of *C. finmarchicus* was measured using the same method. Individuals were pooled, however, to obtain enough biomass to reach the 0.1 g minimum for analysis.

*M Mercury mass-balance model*

Individual herring consumption rate (I; g/g/day) was modeled for each age class using the mercury mass-balance equation developed by Trudel et al. (2000) and Trudel and Rasmussen (2001):

\[
I = \frac{C_t + \Delta t - C_t \cdot e^{-(E+G)\Delta t}}{\alpha \cdot C_d \cdot [1 - e^{-(E+G)\Delta t}] \cdot (E + G)}
\]

where \(C_t\) is mercury concentration of herring (μg/g), \(t\) is time (days), \(E\) is elimination rate (μg/g/day), \(G\) is specific growth rate (g/g/day), \(\alpha\) is assimilation rate of mercury (0.80; Trudel and Rasmussen 2001), and \(C_d\) is mercury concentration of prey (μg/g; described in text in ng/g). In fish, mercury associates with proteins and not lipids. Therefore, in our model we did not include \(K\), daily loss of mercury due to spawning, because we assumed losses would be negligible in lipid-rich eggs (Harris et al. 2003; Trudel and Rasmussen 2006).

The specific growth rate for each age was estimated as follows:

\[
G = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \cdot \ln\left(\frac{M_t + \Delta t}{M_t}\right)
\]
where $M$ is fish wet mass (g). We made the assumption that the difference between mean wet mass in consecutive cohorts (e.g., age 1 and 2) was equal to the annual growth of the younger cohort.

The elimination rate was estimated as follows:

$$E = \varphi \cdot M^\beta \cdot e^{\gamma \cdot T}$$

where $\varphi$ (0.0029), $\beta$ (-0.2), and $\gamma$ (0.066) are empirically derived constants (Trudel and Rasmussen 1997; Trudel and Rasmussen 2001). $T$ is average annual temperature in the Gulf of Maine on Georges Bank and the western Gulf of Maine where the fish were collected (7.02 °C). Data were obtained from the Bedford Institute of Oceanography hydrographic database (http://www.bio.gc.ca/science/data-donnees/base/climate-climat-eng.php).

To simplify our modeling and allow us to interpolate from age 1 to 9, we smoothed the observed data. First, herring mass and mercury burden were input to the mercury-mass balance model as best-fit functions of age to reduce noise in the results. To estimate growth we calculated the difference between mass of cohorts on the best-fit line. We also initially assumed herring consumed 100% $C.\ finmarchicus$, their dominant prey in the North Atlantic (Darbyson et al. 2003; Varpe et al. 2005). Determining the mercury content of $C.\ finmarchicus$ proved difficult due to the small number of samples (n=4) and sea water contamination in one sample, which likely diluted mercury concentrations. Because prey mercury content is one of the most sensitive parameters in the mercury mass-balance model (Trudel et al. 2000), we varied the mercury concentration of prey in the model by $\pm$ 15% and 30% from the mean $C.\ finmarchicus$ to encompass a range of
possible mercury values, as well as a varied diet.

Using our modeled specific consumption rates for each age class and the stock structure and biomass estimates available from 1999 to 2011 (Northeast Fisheries Science Center 2012), we estimated the total annual consumption for the Gulf of Maine herring population for the years 1999 to 2011. Age structure was reported as percent of total biomass for each age class from 1 to 9 years. We multiplied those proportions by total fish biomass estimates to determine the biomass of each cohort. We then extrapolated our consumption estimates ranging from 1.5 and 8.5 years to encompass the 1- to 9-year-old fish. We estimated annual consumption for each cohort by multiplying our specific consumption estimates by the biomass of each cohort. Summing the consumption for all cohorts gave total annual consumption.

2.3 Results

Age and growth

We collected a total of 168 Gulf of Maine Atlantic herring for mass, age, and growth estimates. Age estimates ranged from 1.5 to 8.5 years. Mass increase followed a logistic growth curve ($M_{age} = \frac{210}{(1 + 11.59e^{0.55age})}$; $R^2=0.945$; Figure 1). Growth rate declined with age from 0.0013 g/g/day at age 1.5 years to 0.0001 g/g/day at age 8.5 years (Figure 2).

Mercury content of Atlantic herring and C. finmarchicus

Of the 168 total herring collected, a subset of 68 herring was sampled for total
mercury content. Whole-body mercury levels in herring increased and became more variable with age (Figure 3). Mercury concentration was lowest (14.49 ng/g) at age 1.5 years and highest (77.9 ng/g) at age 7.5 years, and our best fit rose logistically \( H_{\text{age}} = \frac{0.10}{(1 + 21.78 e^{0.53 \text{age}})}; R^2=0.946 \). The mean mercury content (± SD) of \( C. \text{finmarchicus} \) was 1.844 ± 0.634 ng/g; therefore we used a range of prey mercury inputs (±15% and 30% of the mean) in our model.

*Consumption estimates*

Estimated specific consumption rate for herring based on the mean prey mercury content (1.844 ng/g) increased from 0.0434 g/g/day at age 1.5 years to between 0.1156 and 0.1230 g/g/day at age 6 when it neared its asymptote (Figure 4). Decreasing prey mercury content in the model by 15% and 30% resulted in a 17.6% and 42.8% increase in specific consumption rate estimates for all ages, respectively. Similarly, increasing prey mercury by 15% and 30% decreased consumption estimates by 13.0% and 23.1%, respectively (Figure 4).

Individual specific consumption rate estimates were extrapolated to the estimated Gulf of Maine herring population for the years 1999 to 2011. During this period, the mean estimated herring age dropped from 4.4 to 3.0 years (Northeast Fisheries Science Center 2012). A comparison of total consumption with average stock age and spawning stock biomass suggests consumption is more tightly correlated to age \( R^2 = 0.699 \) than spawning stock biomass \( R^2 = 0.488; \) Figure 5). The estimated specific annual
consumption of the population dropped by ~30% from 35.5 to 25.7 g/g/year over this period. Total annual consumption for the Gulf of Maine population ranged from a high of 51,408,900 MT in 2001 to 7,064,800 MT in 2010 (Figure 6).

2.4 Discussion

Our results show a three-fold increase in the specific consumption rate of herring in the Gulf of Maine from 0.0434 g/g/day at age 1.5 years to 0.131 at age 8.5 years. The increase with age is opposite to trends found in Baltic herring, where estimates suggest a decrease in the specific consumption rate from 0.047 g/g/day at age 1 to 0.027 g/g/day at age 8 (Rudstam 1988). While juvenile consumption rates are similar between the two studies, our estimates suggest that adult herring in this study consume approximately five times more prey biomass than Baltic herring.

This discrepancy likely has less to do with herring consumption than the methods used to derive the estimates. The bioenergetics model used by Rudstam (1988), which was based on Kitchell et al. (1977), underestimates consumption when compared to mercury-mass-balance models (Rowan and Rasmussen 1996). The source of the discrepancy, according to Rowan and Rasmussen (1996), is an underestimation of activity.

We suggest that seasonal migrations of adult herring greatly increase energy demand and are the driving force behind the increased consumption. Each spring and fall, adult herring migrate as far as 1000 km from the Gulf of Maine to overwintering areas in the Mid-Atlantic and southern New England region, with larger herring traveling farther
Juveniles do not embark on these long winter migrations, but remain in deep basins in the Gulf of Maine in the winter (Boyar 1968; Stevenson and Scott 2005). Furthermore, adults migrate south to warmer waters and juveniles overwinter in colder waters of the Gulf of Maine. This means adults have higher metabolic rates than if they stayed in the colder water of the Gulf of Maine, and higher metabolic rates require increased consumption. Based on our result, the magnitude of energy expenditure or differences in winter metabolic rate may not be captured in the respiration parameters of Rudstam (1988).

Total annual consumption by Atlantic herring was tightly coupled to stock biomass. However, total consumption is more highly correlated with the population’s age structure. We found that a drop in the average age in the population from about 4.5 to 3.0 years old from 1999 to 2011 resulted in a 30% reduction of consumption-to-biomass ratio due to the lower specific consumption rates of younger fish. Therefore, herring population age structure is pivotally important when estimating total consumption. Varpe et al. (2005) suggested a consumption-to-biomass ratio of 5.2 for herring in the Baltic Sea using consumption estimates based on Kitchell et al. (1977). Our estimates for consumption-to-biomass ratio were between 9.6 and 15.6, approximately two to three times that of Varpe et al. (2005).

2.5 Conclusion

We used a mercury mass-balance model based on Trudel et al. (2000) to estimate age-based specific consumption rates for individual herring and the total annual
consumption of the Gulf of Maine herring population. Our estimates were two to four times higher for adult herring than published values, suggesting that the energetic demand of herring is much higher than previously reported. The higher consumption values of adults may be due to an increased energetic demand associated with seasonal migrations. Our model may be improved by including juveniles younger than age 1.5 and by obtaining more precise data on prey and prey mercury content.
Table 1: Parameter descriptions for mercury-mass-balance model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Parameter Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Consumption rate</td>
<td>g/g/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_t$</td>
<td>Mercury concentration of herring</td>
<td>μg/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Elimination rate</td>
<td>g/g/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Growth rate</td>
<td>g/g/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Assimilation rate</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_d$</td>
<td>Mercury concentration of prey</td>
<td>ng/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_f$</td>
<td>Fish mass</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varphi$</td>
<td>Coefficient of mercury elimination</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>Allometric exponent of mercury elimination</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>Temperature coefficient of mercury elimination</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>7.02 °C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Mean (± SD) wet mass (g) of Gulf of Maine Atlantic herring sampled in fall 2012 and spring 2013. Dotted line indicates best fit logistic growth curve used as model input ($M_{age} = \frac{210}{(1 + 11.59e^{0.55\text{age}})}$; $R^2 = 0.945$).
Figure 2: Modeled daily specific growth rate for Gulf of Maine Atlantic herring (mg/g/day).
Figure 3: Mean mercury concentration (± SD) in Gulf of Maine Atlantic herring sampled in autumn 2012 and spring 2013. Dotted line indicates best-fit logistic growth curve used at model input \( Hg_{age} = \frac{Hg_{max}}{1 + 2.18e^{0.53\text{age}}} \); \( R^2 = 0.946 \).
Figure 4: Herring specific consumption rate (g/g/day) as a function of fish age based on a diet of *C. finmarchicus*. The solid line shows the consumption estimate based on mean mercury prey content; dashed lines represent ±15%, and dotted lines represent ±30% of prey mercury content.
Figure 5: Correlation between total annual consumption of Atlantic herring and spawning stock biomass (top) or mean population age (bottom).
Figure 6: Total annual consumption (MT) for the Atlantic herring population in the Gulf of Maine from 1999 to 2011. The black bar shows the consumption estimate based on mean mercury prey content, the dark gray bar ±15% of the mean mercury prey content, and the light gray bar ±30%.
CHAPTER 3: ESTIMATING AGE-SPECIFIC CONSUMPTION OF ATLANTIC HERRING BY COMBINING MERCURY MASS-BALANCE AND BIOENERGETICS MODELS

3.1 Introduction

Predation and consumption are fundamental principles of ecology (Connell 1961; Paine 1966). Knowing how much an organism eats helps us understand the affects of predation on prey abundances (Hansen et al. 1993; Overholtz and Link 2007), how energy moves through and between systems (Polis et al. 1997; Varpe and Fiksen 2005), ontogenetic shifts in a species (Werner and Hall 1988), and growth in different environmental conditions (Kerr 2011). While quantifying consumption in any species generally requires complex models, fish consumption provides additional challenges, including the difficulty of direct observation, laboratory replication, and the ability to endure long periods without eating (Hallfredsson et al. 2007; Ferriss and Essington 2014).

Fish consumption has been quantified using several methods, including direct observation, gut content analysis, and various models (Eggers 1977; Kitchell et al. 1977; Elliot and Persson 1978; Trudel et al. 2000). Each approach has strengths and weaknesses. Direct observation can provide valuable information on the predation process, but is difficult in situ and time consuming in the laboratory. Additionally, when prey is small, assumptions must be made about the success of each predation attempt (Gibson and Ezzi 1992). Gut content analysis provides definitive information about what prey are consumed, but only provides a snapshot. Repetitive sampling over time can resolve this issue, but is time and labor intensive in the field and laboratory.
Modeling can be an effective approach to examine longer-term (greater than the season sampled) fish consumption. Consumption models are generally mass-balance models that estimate consumption by balancing energy inputs and outputs, which may vary throughout the year or the life of a fish. Once a good baseline estimate is attained, inputs can be adjusted to make predictions about consumption under different conditions. Bioenergetics and contaminant mass-balance models are both commonly used for this purpose. The WI bioenergetics model (Kitchell et al. 1977) has been used extensively in freshwater and marine environments for estimating fish consumption (Diana 1983; Boggs and Kitchell 1991; Wahl and Stein 1991; Stockwell and Johnson 1997) and uses energy densities of fish and their prey to derive a consumption estimate by summing the costs of metabolism, growth, and waste (Kitchell et al. 1977; Stewart et al. 1981). Bioenergetics models, however, have been criticized for their sensitivity to, and underestimation and fixed value of, fish activity (Hansen et al. 1993; Rowan and Rasmussen 1996). Contaminant mass-balance models have been used to estimate consumption independently of fish activity (Rowan and Rasmussen 1996; Trudel et al. 2000; Trudel and Rasmussen 2001). These models measure heavy metals that bioaccumulate in fish and their prey. Using excretion and assimilation rates, contaminant mass-balance models directly estimate a specific consumption rates for fish (Trudel et al. 2000). Several species of lake fish have been estimated to have activity levels up to four times greater using the contaminant mass-balance model compared to the bioenergetics model (Rowan and Rasmussen 1996). However, the contaminant model has been criticized for overestimating consumption and underestimating contaminant levels in prey (He and Stewart
1997; Ferris and Essington 2014). Each of these models has pros and cons, and a single modeling approach that can make estimates for all species of fish is difficult due to the diversity of life histories. The best approach may be to examine the life history of a species and choose a model that matches strengths and minimizes weaknesses of the model based on the fish’s ecology.

Adult Atlantic herring on both sides of the North Atlantic make extensive annual migrations between feeding, spawning, and overwintering grounds, whereas juveniles move relatively little (Stevenson and Scott 2005; Varpe et al. 2005). Tagged herring released in the Gulf of Maine and southern New England have been recovered over 1,000 km away (Kanwit and Libby 2009). Fish with highly migratory behavior have high energy costs (Dewar and Graham 1994; Nottestad et al. 1999), which may exacerbate any underestimation of activity in bioenergetics models, leading to a further underestimation of consumption. The ontogenetic shift to migration in herring provides an interesting opportunity to compare how consumption estimates from different models may change with increased activity.

A recent model combines the contaminant and bioenergetics models to balance the strengths and weaknesses of each (Ferris and Essington 2014). The “hybrid” model uses the consumption estimate from a bioenergetics model to back-calculate contaminant levels (e.g., mercury) using a mercury mass-balance model. The calculated mercury levels are then iteratively compared to measured mercury levels. Prey mercury burden and fish activity, the most sensitive parameters in each model, can be manipulated to improve the fit of the estimates to the measured values. Consumption can then be
calculated using the adjusted values.

In a previous study (see Chapter 2), herring consumption estimates using a mercury mass-balance model were much higher than those from the WI bioenergetics models. The specific consumption estimates were higher and showed an increase with age, compared to a decrease in specific consumption with age for Baltic herring (Rudstam 1988). We used the general framework from Ferris and Essington (2014), combining the mercury mass-balance model with a WI bioenergetics model and adjusting parameters within each, to mirror the observed increase in whole body mercury load with age in Atlantic herring taken from the Gulf of Maine in 2012 and 2013 (Chapter 2). We used this model to test the following four hypotheses to account for the differences in consumption estimates between mercury mass-balance and WI bioenergetics models:

**H1**: Consumption is overestimated by the mercury model because prey mercury content is underestimated.

**H2**: Consumption is underestimated by the WI model because fish activity is underestimated.

**H3**: Consumption is underestimated by the WI model because fish activity increases with age.

**H4**: Consumption is overestimated by the mercury model because older herring consume prey with high prey mercury content.

### 3.2 Methods

Collection, processing, and mercury analysis of herring were described in Chapter 2. Briefly, herring were collected from the Gulf of Maine in autumn 2012 and spring and
autumn 2013. Fish were frozen and later processed in the lab for total length (mm), wet mass (g), sex, age (years), and maturity. Age and mass were used to calculate growth using change in mass between cohorts. Total mercury was measured in accordance with U.S. EPA Method 7473 using a Milestone DMA-80 Direct Mercury Analyzer (Milestone Srl, Bergamo, Italy) for herring and Calanus finmarchicus at Clarkson University, Potsdam, New York.

The individual herring consumption rate was modeled for each age class using the WI bioenergetics model (Table 2; Kitchell et al. 1977; Rudstam 1988; Hansen et al. 1997; Rose et al. 2008) and mercury mass-balance model (Trudel et al. 2001).

To test our hypotheses we used a hybrid model (Figure 7; Ferris and Essington 2014). First, specific consumption rates from the WI bioenergetics model were calculated for each age class. The consumption equation from the mercury mass-balance model was then rearranged so that consumption was an input to estimate mercury burden. We next compared the estimated mercury burden from the hybrid model to observed mercury levels in herring. We were then able to manipulate prey mercury and activity to get a better fit between the estimated and observed herring mercury burden. We used this model framework (Figure 7) to test each of our hypotheses by manipulating prey mercury or fish activity.

Underestimation of the prey mercury burden is a chief criticism of contaminant models (Ferris and Essington 2014). Planktonic prey can be particularly difficult to measure due to their small size, relative to the sample size needed for mercury estimation (Braune 1987). We tested hypothesis H1, that underestimating prey mercury content can
account for the differences between mercury and bioenergetics model specific consumption estimates, by increasing the measured prey mercury burden in the mercury model by factors of 2, 3, and 4.

Previous studies using contaminant models have estimated that fish activity in lakes can consistently be 2 to 4 times higher than estimates from WI bioenergetics models (Rowan and Rasmussen 1996). We tested our second hypothesis H2, that underestimating the activity level can account for the differences between mercury and bioenergetics model specific consumption estimates, by increasing the activity multiplier in the WI model by factors of 2, 3, and 4.

Because neither of the previous two simulations satisfactorily explained the discrepancy in mercury burden, we tested two additional hypotheses. Hypothesis H3 addressed the increase in migration distance with age in herring (Nottestad et al. 1999; Stevenson and Scott 2005). We hypothesized that increasing activity as a fish ages could account for the differences between mercury and bioenergetics models. H3 was tested by multiplying activity by the proportional growth (mass) standardized to mass at age 1. We tested hypothesis H4, that an increase in prey mercury burden with age could explain the discrepancies in consumption estimates between mercury and bioenergetics models, in a similar manner. In the mercury model, we multiplied prey mercury content by the mass of the fish divided by the mass of the fish at age 1. We evaluated our hypotheses by comparing the goodness of fit between the observed and predicted mercury content of herring using $R^2$ from linear regressions.

Once we achieved a good fit between the estimated and measured herring
mercury burden, we used the adjusted parameters in the Wisconsin bioenergetics model and mercury mass-balance model to estimate consumption.

3.3 Results

The herring mercury burden estimated in the initial hybrid model was relatively constant across age compared to the measured values (Figure 8). While mercury burden at age 1 was similar between modeled estimates and measured values, by age 9 measured values were ~9 times greater than estimated values.

Adjusting prey mercury concentration to double, triple, quadruple, or quintuple measured levels (H1) simply increased the estimated mercury burden in herring over all age classes, and did not change rate of accumulation with age (Figure 9). Making the same adjustments to the activity multiplier (H2) yielded similar results, with an overall increase in mercury burden and little change in slope. The $R^2$ values for these simulations ranged from -0.81 to 0.422.

When prey mercury was held constant and the activity multiplier was multiplied by mass at age divided by mass at age 1 (H3), the estimated mercury burden improved the agreement between measured mercury burdens in herring to $R^2 = 0.96$ (Figure 10). When the same adjustment was made to prey mercury burden and activity was left alone (H4), a similar fit was achieved ($R^2 = 0.97$).

The specific consumption rate estimated using the hybrid model (H3 or H4 simulations) fell lower than the specific consumption estimates of the original mercury mass-balance model, and higher than the estimates of the bioenergetics model with an
3.4 Discussion

Our hybrid model results provide several scenarios that could explain the increase in the specific consumption rate of herring with age as estimated by the mercury mass-balance model. Proportional increases in activity and prey mercury content with fish age explained the increased specific consumption with age. The increase in activity as fish get older, however, makes better ecological sense. The ontogenetic shift from overwintering in the Gulf of Maine as juveniles to migrating as far as 1000 km as adults suggests that adults would have greater energetic costs (Nottestad et al. 1999; Stevenson and Scott 2005; Kanwit and Libby 2009). Because of this ontogenetic shift, we did not anticipate that a simple fixed increase in herring activity across all ages would adequately explain the increase in mercury burden. Results from our model simulation supported this prediction.

We also addressed a major concern with the mercury mass-balance model and prey mercury content—that high consumption estimates from mercury models are a result of simply underestimating prey mercury. Herring maintain simple diets dominated by a few species of similar trophic levels throughout most of their lives (Darbyson et al. 2003; Varpe et al. 2005). Therefore, the assumption of a steady prey mercury burden throughout the life of Atlantic herring seems reasonable. Allowing for both error in measuring the mercury burden in *Calanus* and a diet that likely contains other zooplankton, adjusting the average prey mercury burden appeared reasonable. However,
a fixed prey mercury burden cannot explain the discrepancy in consumption values between the bioenergetics models and mercury models, and therefore a simple underestimation of mercury in prey is not a plausible answer to our question. We also looked at what would be mathematically necessary for prey mercury burden to explain the increased mercury burden in herring with age. We found that increasing prey mercury content proportionally with age would explain the difference. However, an increase in the mercury content of prey would suggest that either herring were constantly switching prey types and moving up the food chain or that adult herring were finding the same prey with consistently higher mercury levels as they aged. Because herring maintain a fairly constant diet and utilize the same feeding grounds throughout their life, we find it less ecologically plausible that increasing prey mercury is the cause of the increase in specific consumption estimates.

In addition to the magnitude of the migration in younger versus older herring, various aspects of the migration may also implicate an increased energetic demand. Herring filter feed in high prey densities (Gibson and Ezzi 1990), such as those found during spring and summer blooms in the Gulf of Maine (Townsend and Spinrad 1986; Thomas et al. 2003). However, herring switch feeding modality to particulate feeding at lower prey densities (Gibson and Ezzi 1990). In adult herring overwintering grounds along the mid-Atlantic coast of the United States, prey densities are likely lower than those found in spring blooms in the Gulf of Maine. Lower prey densities suggest herring would particulate feed. Although particulate feeding is less energetically costly than filter feeding, it is also less profitable (James and Probyn 1989; Gibson and Ezzi 1992). This suggests that in
order to maintain a daily ration by particulate feeding, more time would need to be spent foraging.

We are not the first investigators to suggest that activity can vary with body mass. In walleye (*Sander vitreus*), juvenile activity was found to correlate to body mass, predation, and food density (Madon and Culver 1993). Walleye, northern pike (*Esox niger*), and lake whitefish (*Coregonus clupeaformis*) consumption rates have also been estimated to increase with body mass using mercury mass-balance models; however, these increases were at most a doubling in specific consumption rate and varied among lakes (Trudel et al. 2000). Our consumption rate estimates are 1.7–7.5 times higher, suggesting that highly migratory species may have a much larger energy requirement and impact on lower trophic levels than previously thought.

The implication of our model simulations is that, depending on life history, respiration, metabolic, and consumption rates may not decrease with body size. Fishes whose activity as adults far exceeds activity as juveniles cannot be assumed to have fixed activity rates. We suggest that this may be especially true of highly migratory pelagic marine fishes. These results are contrary to what we previously believed about the feeding habits of herring, and leave the door open for future studies on winter foraging and migration costs of herring on the mid-Atlantic coast of the United States.

Our methods worked off ideas put forward by Ferris and Essington (2014) using a hybrid of two models that seem to be at odds in the literature (Rowan and Rasmussen 1997; Stewart and He 1997; Trudel and Rasmussen 2006). We found that combining approaches provided a more in depth insight to fish consumption and allowed us to see
how weaknesses in each model can work in tandem. While the method requires more
input (mercury burdens and energy densities) and greater parameterization, we believe it
allowed for greater control to make adjustments that aligned with the fish’s life history.
Figure 7: Flowchart showing the hybrid consumption model. Adjusted parameters in the model are shown in bold.
Table 2. Parameter descriptions for the Wisconsin bioenergetics model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Parameter description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_A$</td>
<td>Intercept of the allometric mass function</td>
<td>0.642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_B$</td>
<td>Slope of the allometric mass function</td>
<td>-0.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_Q$</td>
<td>Lower water temperature (°C) at which temperature dependence is CK1 of maximum rate</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTM</td>
<td>Water temperature (°C) at which dependence is 0.98 of the maximum</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTL</td>
<td>Temperature (°C) at which dependence is a reduced CK4 of the maximum rate</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK1</td>
<td>Small fraction of maximum rate</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK4</td>
<td>Reduced fraction of the maximum rate</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_A$</td>
<td>Specific weight of oxygen</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_B$</td>
<td>Slope of the allometric mass function</td>
<td>-0.227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_Q$</td>
<td>Rate at which respiration increases over relatively low temperatures</td>
<td>0.0548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTO</td>
<td>Desired velocity (cm/s)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTL</td>
<td>Cut-off temperature</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RK1</td>
<td>Intercept for swimming speed above cut-off temperature</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RK4</td>
<td>Mass dependence coefficient for swimming speed at all water temperatures</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT</td>
<td>Intercept of the relationship of swimming speed at water temperatures below RTL</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACT</td>
<td>Water temperature dependence coefficient of swimming speed at water temperatures below RTL</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDA</td>
<td>Specific dynamic action coefficient</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_A$</td>
<td>Constant proportion of consumption</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$U_A$</td>
<td>Constant proportion of assimilated energy</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 8: Measured mercury burden in Atlantic herring at age (solid line) and estimated mercury burden of Atlantic herring (dotted line) based on consumption estimates from an unaltered WI bioenergetics model input into an unaltered mercury mass-balance model. Points indicate mean measured mercury burden.
Figure 9: Measured mercury burden in Atlantic herring at age (solid line), estimated mercury burden of Atlantic herring based on a WI bioenergetics model with activity (dashed line) or prey mercury (dotted line) doubled, tripled, quadrupled, or quintupled. Points indicate mean measured mercury burden.
Figure 10: Measured mercury burden in Atlantic herring at age (solid line), estimated mercury burden of Atlantic herring based on a WI bioenergetics model with activity (dashed line) or prey mercury (dotted line) multiplied by current mass divided by mass at age 1. Points indicate mean measured mercury burden.
Figure 11: Specific consumption of Atlantic herring at age based on original mercury mass-balance model (Chapter 2; dashed line), hybrid model with prey mercury content held constant and activity multiplied by current mass divided by mass at age 1 (solid line), and Wisconsin model with activity multiplied by current mass divided by mass at age 1 (dotted line).
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