

University of Vermont

**UVM ScholarWorks**

---

Larner College of Medicine Faculty Publications

Larner College of Medicine

---

2017

## Developing a Literature-Based Interview Script to Explore Mentorship Models in Medical Education Scholarship: A Collaboration Among Six Medical Institutions

Donna O'Malley

*University of Vermont, donna.omalley@uvm.edu*

Saori Wendy Herman

*Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine*

Eileen CichoskiKelly

*University of Vermont, Eileen.CichoskiKelly@uvm.edu*

Alice Fornari

*Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine*

Rebecca D. Blanchard

*University of Massachusetts Medical School - Baystate*

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/comfac>



Part of the [Medical Education Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

O'Malley, Donna; Herman, Saori Wendy; CichoskiKelly, Eileen; Fornari, Alice; Blanchard, Rebecca D.; Rougas, Steven; Saks, Norma S.; and Kogan, Jennifer, "Developing a Literature-Based Interview Script to Explore Mentorship Models in Medical Education Scholarship: A Collaboration Among Six Medical Institutions" (2017). *Larner College of Medicine Faculty Publications*. 2.

<https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/comfac/2>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the Larner College of Medicine at UVM ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Larner College of Medicine Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UVM ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact [scholarworks@uvm.edu](mailto:scholarworks@uvm.edu).

---

**Authors**

Donna O'Malley, Saori Wendy Herman, Eileen CichoskiKelly, Alice Fornari, Rebecca D. Blanchard, Steven Rougas, Norma S. Saks, and Jennifer Kogan

# Developing a Literature-Based Interview Script to Explore Mentorship Models in Medical Education Scholarship: A Collaboration Among Six Medical Institutions

Donna O'Malley, MLS<sup>1</sup>, Saori Wendy Herman, MLIS, AHIP<sup>2</sup>, Eileen CichoskiKelly, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Alice Fornari, EdD, RD<sup>2</sup>, Rebecca D Blanchard, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Steven Rougas, MD, MS<sup>4</sup>, Norma S. Saks, EdD<sup>5</sup>, Jennifer Kogan, MD<sup>6</sup>, and Stephanie Ratmeyer, MA<sup>1</sup>

(1) University of Vermont, (2) Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine, (3) University of Massachusetts Medical School – Baystate, (4) Alpert Medical School of Brown University, (5) Rutgers Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, (6) Perelman School of Medicine at Univ of Pennsylvania

## BACKGROUND

- Medical education scholarship (MES) is meaningful work that benefits faculty, their learners and their institutions
- Negotiating the terrain of MES – which often includes new languages, systems, and thought processes – requires consistent and effective mentorship
- Unfortunately, effective mentorship for MES is limited and an effective mentorship model has not been clearly identified

## BUILDING A MES MENTORING MODEL

- We seek to build a model that will illuminate the effective elements of MES mentorship
- The model will be based on structured interviews with pairs of medical education mentors and their mentees
- Interview scripts will be informed by a review of existing literature
- This poster describes the process we followed to identify and analyze that literature

## PROCESS: JANUARY – NOVEMBER 2016

### Literature Search

- Determined search terms
- Databases: PubMed, PsycINFO, ERIC, CINAHL, Web of Science, Cochrane Library
- 1514 references identified and stored in EndNote

### Abstract Screening

- Project members worked in groups of 2 or 3
- 1120 articles eliminated based on title alone
- Groups reviewed approx. 320 article titles/abstracts in Excel and selected articles for further examination

### Full-text Screening

- Titles/Abstracts labelled “Yes for full review” redistributed to each group
- Dropbox stored full text of articles
- Groups reviewed full text of articles to select articles for data extraction

### Data Extraction

- Concurrent with the full-text screening phase
- Qualtrics data extraction form drafted by librarians
- Data extraction questions discussed and finalized by full team
- Each group submitted one form per article

### Theme Consolidation

- Data extraction forms compiled and redistributed
- Groups reviewed 16-17 summarize articles and developed themes
- A Word template guided the consolidation process

## RESULTS

The research team selected 80 articles for data extraction, followed by theme consolidation.

- Consolidated themes guided development of the interview script
- The script was piloted and revised

Supporting this collaborative experience provided a unique faculty development opportunity for the researchers. Nine individuals from six institutions brought expertise from a variety of fields, including clinical practice, education scholarship, and library science.

## NEXT STEPS

- Interviews with mentors and mentee pairs will begin this summer using revised questions
- The team is considering using the database of articles to conduct and publish a systematic review