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Abstract

Background
We aimed to understand disparities in the receipt of a 504 Plan among US children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) using the federal poverty level (FPL) as an indicator of socioeconomic status.

Methods
We analyzed a subpopulation of the 2014 National Survey of the Diagnosis and Treatment of ADHD and Tourette Syndrome (n=2282) children aged 8-17 years. Bivariate and multivariable analyses were used to assess the association of the FPL with receipt of a 504 Plan.

Results
A total of 349 (13.6 %) of children received a 504 Plan. Using the FPL category above 400% as the reference, after controlling for age, sex, race/ethnicity, severity of ADHD, medication status, learning disorders, anxiety and/or mood disorders, overall school performance, and an individualized education plan, children in households at ≤100% of the FPL had the lowest odds of having a 504 Plan (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) 0.33, 95% CI 0.15-0.71), followed by children in households at 101-200% of the FPL (AOR 0.48, 95% CI 0.24-0.94), and children in households at 201-400% of the FPL (AOR 0.57, 95% CI 0.34-0.97).

Conclusion
Children in the lowest FPL category had the lowest odds of having a 504 Plan. Therefore the 504 Plan may be underutilized in children of lower socioeconomic status.
Disparities in Receipt of a 504 Plan by Socioeconomic Status among Children Diagnosed with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in the United States

Introduction

Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is a multifactorial developmental condition characterized by inappropriate levels of hyperactivity, impulsivity, and inattention affecting cognitive, academic, behavioral, emotional and social functioning (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). ADHD is the most common neurobehavioral disorder diagnosed in children and adolescents (Rubia, 2018). The 2011 National Survey of Children’s Health (NSCH) showed 11% of US children 4 to 17 years of age had a diagnosis of ADHD (Visser et al., 2014). Students with ADHD can experience significant academic impairment compared to children without ADHD (Barbaresi et al., 2007).

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 is a civil rights law designed to protect the rights of individuals with disabilities in programs and activities that receive federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Education. Section 504 requires schools to provide a "free appropriate public education" (FAPE) to students with a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities (34 C.F.R. Part 104). Under Section 504, FAPE consists of the provision of regular or special education and related aids and services designed to meet the student's individual educational needs as adequately as the needs of nondisabled students are met (USDE, 1995). Section 504 requires that children with a disability be educated in the general classroom unless the school district demonstrates that it cannot be
accomplished even with the use of supplemental aids. Section 504 does not stipulate a 504 Plan, but it is known to be useful in documenting the process followed to address the need of the eligible student. It does require that the 504 Plan be prepared by a team comprised of a school district representative, a schoolteacher, parents of the student, and other individuals as found fit (USDE, 2016). Children with ADHD are subject to academic and behavioral impairments due to inattention, over activity and impulsive behavior (CDC, 2017). Each 504 Plan is tailored to accommodate the need of the ADHD student (USDE, 2016) with emphasis on academic instruction, behavioral interventions, and classroom accommodations as a successful strategy to educating children with ADHD (USDE, 2008).

Students who are eligible under Section 504 but not under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) are considered as “504-only”. A racial/ethnic and gender disproportionality in receipt of a 504 Plan was observed in analyses conducted in 2009-2010 and 2011-2012 (Zirkel and Weathers, 2015, 2016). In these analyses, [the authors] observed that white and male students had a higher proportion of 504 Plans compared to Hispanic, black, and asian students, students of two or more races or ethnicities, and female students. (Zirkel and Weathers, 2016). Students K-12 in Title 1 schools (i.e., schools with the largest federally funded educational programs) also had a lower proportion of 504 Plans, compared to non-Title 1 schools (Zirkel and Weathers, 2016). Title 1 provides financial assistance to school districts to assist schools with high numbers of children from low-income families to ensure that all children meet state academic standards. The number of students enrolled in the free and reduced price lunch program determines the number of low-income students.
The prevalence of ADHD has increased between 2005 and 2014 (Davidovitch et al., 2017). ADHD is more prevalent in children from lower socioeconomic (SES) households (Choi et al., 2017). However, children from higher SES households are more likely to receive treatment for ADHD (Eisenberg, 2012). Because of the professional attention, these children may stand a better chance of being recommended for a 504 Plan. The objective of this study is to assess if there is a socioeconomic disparity among children with ADHD who receive a 504 Plan.
Methods

We used data from the 2014 National Survey of the Diagnosis and Treatment of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Tourette Syndrome (NS-DATA) sponsored by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s (CDC) National Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities (NCBDDD) and the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) (SLAITS, 2014). The NS-DATA is a follow-up survey of households with children 2 to 15 years of age when responding to the 2011-2012 National Survey of Children’s Health (NSCH) (SLAITS, 2013), a random-digit-dial cross-sectional telephone survey on the health, wellbeing, and healthcare access of children. Children were eligible for the NS-DATA if they were less than 18 years of age at the time of interview, continued to live in the contacted household, and were ever diagnosed with ADHD and/or Tourette syndrome by a doctor or healthcare provider. Our study utilizes data from the publicly available NS-DATA ADHD module, which was conducted in both English and Spanish.

The data was weighted by NCHS to adjust for multiple factors including non-response to the NS-DATA, households that could not to be contacted, children who did not satisfy the eligibility criteria at the time of the NS-DATA interview, and to make the sample generalizable to the U.S. ADHD. To avoid the risk of inadvertent disclosure of confidential information obtained during data collection, some variables were either suppressed or collapsed by NCHS.

We limited our study sample to a subpopulation of children aged 8-17 years old with a current diagnosis of ADHD and for whom there was complete information on Federal Poverty Level (FPL) and receipt of a 504 Plan. Children younger than 8 years were excluded due to a small
sample size. The outcome of interest is receipt of a 504 Plan. The primary predictor, FPL, an indicator of socioeconomic status (SES), was categorized as \( \leq 100\% \) of the FPL, 101-200\% of the FPL, 201-400\% of the FPL, and \( >400\% \) of the FPL.

We included the following variables in our analysis: age (8-11 years, 12-13 years, and 14-17 years, based on the structure of early, middle and high school grades); sex (male, female); race/ethnicity (Hispanic, non-White non-Hispanic, and White non-Hispanic); severity of child’s ADHD (mild, moderate, and severe); current medication use for ADHD (yes, no); learning disorder (yes, no); anxiety and/or mood disorder (yes, if either an anxiety or mood disorder or both and no, if neither anxiety nor a mood disorder), overall school performance (problematic or somewhat problematic, average, and above average or excellent) and, receipt of an Individualized Education Program (IEP) (yes, no).

We conducted descriptive analyses, calculated crude odds and performed a multivariate analysis to assess the association between FPL and the receipt of a 504 Plan, adjusting for age, sex, race/ethnicity, severity of ADHD, medication status, learning disorders, anxiety and/or mood disorders, overall school performance, and an IEP. Analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 and SAS-callable SUDAAN version 10 to properly weight and account for the complex sampling frame.
Results

Table 1 describes the overall study population and the characteristics of 349 children (13.6%) who had a 504 Plan and 1933 children (86.4%) without a 504 Plan. Of these children, 327 (27%) lived in households ≤100% of the FPL, 435 (21.9 %) lived in households between 101-200% of the FPL, 712 (27.9 %) lived in households between 201-400% of the FPL, and 808 (23.3%) lived in households >400% of the FPL. The poverty level distribution differed among those with and without a 504 Plan ($p = 0.0158$).

Using the >400% FPL category as a reference, children in households at ≤100% of the FPL had the lowest odds of having a 504 Plan (crude odds ratio (OR) 0.38, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.20- 0.73) followed by children at 101-200% of the FPL (OR 0.54, 95% CI 0.30-0.97) and children at 201-400% of the FPL (OR 0.76, 95% CI 0.46-1.24) (Table 2). After controlling for age, sex, race/ethnicity, severity of ADHD, medication status, learning disorders, anxiety and/or mood disorders, overall school performance, and an IEP, children at ≤100% of the FPL continued to have the lowest odds of having a 504 Plan (adjusted odds ratio (AOR) 0.33, 95% CI 0.15-0.71) followed by children at 101-200% of the FPL (AOR 0.48, 95% CI 0.24-0.94) and children at 201-400% of the FPL (AOR 0.57, 95% CI 0.34-0.97).
Discussion

Very few studies have been published on 504 Plan among children with ADHD. There is an increasing trend in the prevalence of ADHD in all SES categories, which is more pronounced in lower SES categories (Eisenberg, 2012). In our study, 27% of children with ADHD are from the lowest socioeconomic category (≤100% of the FPL). These children have the lowest chance of receiving a 504 Plan when compared with those in all other FPL categories. A similar disparity was seen in a study of children with ADHD in K–12 where a lower percentage of students in Title 1 schools received a 504 Plan, compared to students in non-Title 1 schools (Zirkel and Weathers, 2016). However, a prior study by Holler and Zirkel (2008) failed to demonstrate such a relationship, but lack of statistical significance could have been due to the sampling methods used (Zirkel and Weathers, 2016).

ADHD has a significant negative impact on a child’s ability to learn and his/her educational performance (Murray et al., 2014). One of the many interventions used to help improve academic performance of children with inattention and/or hyperactivity/impulsivity is classroom accommodations. Classroom accommodations in 504 Plans include physical accommodations such as preferential seating, instructional accommodations such as keeping directions simple and behavioral accommodations such as positive feedback.

The underutilization of 504 Plan could be due to lack of resources in the school or the family, or a lack of awareness by the parents of what is available. This could explain why children in a higher FPL are more likely to be assigned one.
Limitations

The primary limitation of our study corresponds to the simultaneous assessment of exposure and outcome, preventing the possibility of assigning any temporal relationship between them. Other studies have been trying to elucidate the intricate association between ADHD and socioeconomic disadvantage (Russell, 2015).

Collection of data was by telephone interviews. If parents were unable to differentiate between the types of services their children were receiving, 504 Plan services could have been reported in error. Inaccurate responses from participants and misclassification could have resulted from recall bias. Inaccurate income data could have contributed to children being placed in a different FPL category. In our study, poverty was measured based on the poverty guidelines, widely known as the federal poverty level. However, this is an administrative definition rather than the actual poverty thresholds, which gives a more accurate indication of the SES. Even though the poverty guidelines are approximately equal to the poverty thresholds, there could be a mismatch in classification based on the measure used to classify family income.

This study gave us the opportunity to compare different population groups at one point in time in regards to the services they were receiving, related to their diagnosis of ADHD and their socioeconomic status. Since our results show a possible relationship between the FPL and the receipt of a 504 Plan in children with ADHD, they can be used to support further research in this area.
Conclusion

This study shows that children of lower socioeconomic status have a lower chance of receiving a 504 Plan. We recommend that further studies be done to confirm this finding of a disparity in receipt of a 504 Plan among children with ADHD. Further analysis is required to investigate the reasons for this disparity, to effect changes in policies used to determine eligibility.
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### Table 1. Characteristics of children 8-17 years with ADHD by receipt of a 504 Plan, 2014 National Survey of the Diagnosis and Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity and Tourette Syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Number in Study Population (n=2282)</th>
<th>Has a 504 Plan (n=349)</th>
<th>Does Not Have a 504 Plan (n=1933)</th>
<th>Chi-Square p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Federal Poverty Level (FPL)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 100% of FPL</td>
<td>527 (27.0)</td>
<td>32 (2.3)</td>
<td>295 (24.7)</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 - 200% of FPL</td>
<td>435 (21.9)</td>
<td>36 (2.2)</td>
<td>379 (19.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 - 400% of FPL</td>
<td>712 (27.9)</td>
<td>97 (4.3)</td>
<td>615 (23.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;400% of FPL</td>
<td>808 (23.3)</td>
<td>164 (4.5)</td>
<td>644 (18.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (years)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 to 11</td>
<td>641 (30.3)</td>
<td>101 (3.8)</td>
<td>540 (26.5)</td>
<td>0.8795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 to 13</td>
<td>521 (23.9)</td>
<td>79 (3.3)</td>
<td>442 (20.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 to 17</td>
<td>1120 (45.8)</td>
<td>169 (6.5)</td>
<td>951 (39.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1604 (70.0)</td>
<td>244 (9.4)</td>
<td>1360 (60.6)</td>
<td>0.8105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>678 (30.0)</td>
<td>105 (4.2)</td>
<td>573 (25.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>196 (16.1)</td>
<td>35 (1.6)</td>
<td>161 (14.5)</td>
<td>0.4450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-White Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>404 (21.2)</td>
<td>50 (2.7)</td>
<td>354 (18.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Non-Hispanic</td>
<td>1677 (62.7)</td>
<td>261 (9.2)</td>
<td>1416 (53.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity of ADHD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>370 (19.6)</td>
<td>61 (2.9)</td>
<td>309 (16.6)</td>
<td>0.8558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>1138 (49.9)</td>
<td>187 (6.6)</td>
<td>951 (43.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>758 (30.5)</td>
<td>100 (4.0)</td>
<td>658 (26.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Takes Medication for ADHD</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1593 (60.4)</td>
<td>259 (9.3)</td>
<td>1334 (57.1)</td>
<td>0.6605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>689 (39.6)</td>
<td>90 (4.3)</td>
<td>599 (29.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning Disorders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>676 (32.7)</td>
<td>79 (3.7)</td>
<td>597 (29.0)</td>
<td>0.2117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1575 (67.3)</td>
<td>264 (9.8)</td>
<td>1311 (71.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anxiety and/or Mood Disorders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>580 (25.4)</td>
<td>92 (2.6)</td>
<td>488 (22.8)</td>
<td>0.0743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1678 (74.6)</td>
<td>252 (10.8)</td>
<td>1426 (63.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall School Performance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problematic / Somewhat Problematic</td>
<td>1060 (49.9)</td>
<td>172 (6.9)</td>
<td>888 (43.0)</td>
<td>0.8840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>633 (27.2)</td>
<td>84 (3.4)</td>
<td>549 (23.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above Average / Excellent</td>
<td>539 (22.9)</td>
<td>81 (3.1)</td>
<td>458 (19.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Individualized Education Plan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>935 (40.6)</td>
<td>40 (1.8)</td>
<td>915 (38.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>1327 (59.4)</td>
<td>309 (11.8)</td>
<td>1018 (41.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Odds of 504 Plan receipt among children 8-17 years with ADHD, 2014 National Survey of the Diagnosis and Treatment of Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity and Tourette Syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1(^a): Crude Odds</th>
<th>Model 2(^b): Adjusted Odds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crude OR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 100% of FPL</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.20 - 0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101 - 200% of FPL</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.30 - 0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 - 400% of FPL</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.46 - 1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 400% of FPL</td>
<td>reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)FPL = Federal Poverty Level
\(^b\)model not adjusted for potentially confounding variables
\(^c\)model adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, severity of ADHD, medication status, learning disorders, anxiety and/or mood disorders, overall school performance, and an Individualized Education Plan