# University of Vermont

# [UVM ScholarWorks](https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/)

[College of Engineering and Mathematical](https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/cemsfac)  [Sciences Faculty Publications](https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/cemsfac)

[College of Engineering and Mathematical](https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/cems)  **Sciences** 

8-9-2013

# Linking environmental variability to village-scale malaria transmission using a simple immunity model

Teresa K. Yamana Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Arne Bomblies University of Vermont

Ibrahim M. Laminou International Network of the Pasteur Institute

Jean Bernard Duchemin International Network of the Pasteur Institute

Elfatih A.B. Eltahir Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/cemsfac](https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/cemsfac?utm_source=scholarworks.uvm.edu%2Fcemsfac%2F87&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) 

**P** Part of the [Human Ecology Commons,](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/1335?utm_source=scholarworks.uvm.edu%2Fcemsfac%2F87&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages) and the Medicine and Health Commons

# Recommended Citation

Yamana TK, Bomblies A, Laminou IM, Duchemin JB, Eltahir EA. Linking environmental variability to villagescale malaria transmission using a simple immunity model. Parasites & vectors. 2013 Dec;6(1):1-4.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Engineering and Mathematical Sciences at UVM ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in College of Engineering and Mathematical Sciences Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UVM ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact [scholarworks@uvm.edu](mailto:scholarworks@uvm.edu).

# **RESEARCH CHINESEARCH CHINESEARCH CHINESE**



# Linking environmental variability to village-scale malaria transmission using a simple immunity model

Teresa K Yamana<sup>1\*</sup>, Arne Bomblies<sup>2</sup>, Ibrahim M Laminou<sup>3</sup>, Jean-Bernard Duchemin<sup>3</sup> and Elfatih A B Eltahir<sup>1</sup>

# Abstract

Background: Individuals continuously exposed to malaria gradually acquire immunity that protects from severe disease and high levels of parasitization. Acquired immunity has been incorporated into numerous models of malaria transmission of varying levels of complexity (e.g. Bull World Health Organ 50:347, 1974; Am J Trop Med Hyg 75:19, 2006; Math Biosci 90:385–396, 1988). Most such models require prescribing inputs of mosquito biting rates or other entomological or epidemiological information. Here, we present a model with a novel structure that uses environmental controls of mosquito population dynamics to simulate the mosquito biting rates, malaria prevalence as well as variability in protective immunity of the population.

Methods: A simple model of acquired immunity to malaria is presented and tested within the framework of the Hydrology, Entomology and Malaria Transmission Simulator (HYDREMATS), a coupled hydrology and agent-based entomology model. The combined model uses environmental data including rainfall, temperature, and topography to simulate malaria prevalence and level of acquired immunity in the human population. The model is used to demonstrate the effect of acquired immunity on malaria prevalence in two Niger villages that are hydrologically and entomologically very different. Simulations are conducted for the year 2006 and compared to malaria prevalence observations collected from the two villages.

Results: Blood smear samples from children show no clear difference in malaria prevalence between the two villages despite pronounced differences in observed mosquito abundance. The similarity in prevalence is attributed to the moderating effect of acquired immunity, which depends on prior exposure to the parasite through infectious bites - and thus the hydrologically determined mosquito abundance. Modelling the level of acquired immunity can affect village vulnerability to climatic anomalies.

**Conclusions:** The model presented has a novel structure constituting a mechanistic link between spatial and temporal environmental variability and village-scale malaria transmission. Incorporating acquired immunity into the model has allowed simulation of prevalence in the two villages, and isolation of the effects of acquired immunity in dampening the difference in prevalence between the two villages. Without these effects, the difference in prevalence between the two villages would have been significantly larger in response to the large differences in mosquito populations and the associated biting rates.

Keywords: Malaria, Model, Anopheles, Immunity, Africa

\* Correspondence: [tkcy@mit.edu](mailto:tkcy@mit.edu) <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Room 48-207, 15 Vassar Street, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2013 Yamana et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Background

#### Acquired immunity to malaria

Malaria transmission in Africa is both widespread and highly variable. In some regions, malaria is endemic with constantly present transmission, whereas other regions remain relatively malaria-free but periodically erupt in epidemics that cause suffering and death, after which the disease recedes again. The regional variability in malaria transmission can be affected by environmental, climatic, and demographic factors, but parasite dynamics are also influenced by the human immune response to the parasite in the bloodstream [\[1](#page-13-0)]. Here, we consider the role of acquired immunity to malaria in shaping malaria transmission in two villages in Niger.

Naturally acquired immunity to malaria plays an important role in the transmission of the disease, but in many ways is still poorly understood. Acquired immunity to Plasmodium falciparum malaria develops in three stages. The first stage is protection from severe disease, and can develop in as few as one or two infections [\[2](#page-13-0)]. The second stage is immunity to the clinical symptoms of malaria, and develops over the first years of childhood. The third stage is a partial protection against parasitization, and develops around adolescence. All three stages of immunity depend on constant transmission. When transmission decreases, immunity weakens [\[3](#page-13-0)]. While all three stages of immunity are important to the epidemiology of malaria, for the purposes of modelling disease transmission, we are only concerned with the immunity that protects against parasitization. This immunity potentially affects transmission by reducing the proportion of infectious mosquito bites that result in infection, decreasing the duration of disease and decreasing the infectivity of humans to mosquitoes.

Immune responses to the pre-erythrocytic stages have been shown to be effective at preventing blood-stage infection, forming the basis of the most advanced malaria vaccine to date [[4\]](#page-13-0). Naturally occurring immunity is not believed to confer full protective immunity, and is often neglected in models of disease transmission [[5](#page-13-0)]. However, adults become infected at lower rates than children, implying that immunity does provide a partial protection against infection [\[6,7](#page-13-0)].

Immunity decreases parasite levels in the bloodstream, and may lead to shorter duration of infection [[8\]](#page-13-0). In a longitudinal study of recovery rates in Nigeria, the duration of disease in infants (625 days) was 10 times as high as in >44 year olds (52 days), suggesting that acquired immunity increases the rate of disease clearance [\[6](#page-13-0)]. Others argue that there is little evidence to support this theory, assuming instead that immunity decreases the length of patent disease, without changing the length of subpatent infection [[9\]](#page-13-0).

Gametocyte density has been correlated with the ability to infect mosquitoes, and there is evidence that infectivity to mosquitoes decreases with age [[10-12\]](#page-13-0). However other studies show no correlation, leading to a belief that beyond a bottom threshold of gametocyte density required for transmission of the parasite to mosquitoes, increased densities do not necessarily lead to enhanced transmission [[12\]](#page-13-0). A study of infectivity to mosquitoes in a highly endemic African village found that  $\langle 5, 5-15, 5 \rangle$ >15 year old age groups contributed equally to the malaria reservoir, indicating that people continue to be infectious despite having low levels of parasitaemia [[13](#page-13-0)]. It has also been suggested that acquired immunity may decrease the infectivity of gametocytes [[12,14](#page-13-0)].

Beier *et al.* [\[15](#page-13-0)] noted a non-linear relationship of malaria prevalence with entomological inoculation rate (EIR: a standard measure of malaria transmission), with steadily rising prevalence levels in the low EIR range (below  $\sim$ 100 infectious bites per person per year), and leveling prevalence at EIR values greater than 200 infectious bites per person per year. In this range, increases in prevalence are low compared to the increase in EIR. At EIR values in the 200–400 range, without immunity, entire populations should be infected with the malaria parasite in a short time. Presumably, the relatively steady 80% prevalence observed in this high EIR range reported by Beier et al. [[15](#page-13-0)] can be explained in part by the protective effects of acquired immunity.

Several seemingly paradoxical cases of increased mosquito abundances associated with lower human parasite prevalence have been reported (e.g. [\[2,16,17\]](#page-13-0)). These results have been attributed to several potential causes, but it is thought that human immunity may play a significant role in explaining these observations. In Mali, Diuk-Wasser et al. [[16\]](#page-13-0) noted a decrease in malaria prevalence in villages with intensive irrigation and higher mosquito abundance. They suggested intraspecific competition of subadult mosquitoes for limited nutrients as an explanation. The adult mosquitoes would be smaller, shorter-lived, and thus the vectorial capacity would be depressed. However, in addition to the increased nutrient competition resulting from larval crowding, acquired immunity may have played a role in lowering the population prevalence in many of these observations.

#### Modeling immunity to malaria

Previous malaria models have incorporated acquired im-munity. Dietz et al. [\[18\]](#page-13-0) developed a model that tracks temporal variation in malaria infections and the immunity level of populations in northern Nigeria. That model has a compartmental structure and assumes perfect mixing, and was successfully used for vector control decision making during the Garki project, an extensive malaria control field campaign in the 1970s [\[18](#page-13-0)]. Many similar models with a compartmental structure exist with varying levels of complexity and assumptions regarding the mechanisms of immunity, for example Aron et al. [[19\]](#page-13-0), Yang [\[20](#page-13-0)], Filipe et al. [[21](#page-13-0)] Chiyaka et al. [[22](#page-13-0)], Águas et al. [[23](#page-13-0)] and Chitnis et al. [[24](#page-13-0)]. Despite substantial differences in model structures, each of the models above have been parameterized in order to matched observed prevalence data.

Several recent models include individual-based humans. Smith and colleagues [[25](#page-13-0)] have developed an extensive stochastic individual-based model driven by the entomological inoculation rate (EIR), the number of infectious bites received by each human per unit time. This model includes modules for pre-erythrocytic immunity that decreases the frequency of infection [[5\]](#page-13-0), and parasite regulating immunity at the blood stage that decreases the infectiousness of humans to vectors [[26,27\]](#page-13-0). Similarly, individuals in a model developed by Griffin et al. [\[28](#page-13-0)] are infected according to the EIR derived from a corresponding compartmental model. This model includes representation for clinical immunity and infection-blocking immunity that developed based on the number of infectious bites received, as well as a parasite regulating immunity that is dependent on the individual's age. Gu et al. [\[29](#page-13-0)] developed an agent-based model of humans and female mosquitoes to simulate the transmission of malaria incorporating human immunity for a population on the coast of Kenya. Here, we add to an individual-based model structure by allowing the environmental determinants that influence mosquito breeding and biting activity to vary in high resolution in both space and time, allowing spatio-temporal variability in land use and climate to affect village scale malaria transmission. We present a simple model of immunity, allowing the environmental determinants that are seen to cause spatial and temporal variability in village scale mosquito populations to be represented, and

the effects of such variability to be studied in a virtual field environment.

## Methods

#### Study location

Located only 30 km apart (see map, Figure 1), Banizoumbou and Zindarou are subject to the same general climate, shown in Figure [2](#page-4-0), yet exhibit markedly different mosquito abundance. The difference in mosquito abundance has been shown to be the result of varying hydrological conditions between the two villages [[30\]](#page-13-0). Regional average annual rainfall in this region of Niger is approximately 500mm and occurs exclusively during the summer monsoon (June – September), during which local mosquito populations increase significantly. Banizoumbou is typical of the Sahel, in that it is arid, has deep groundwater and has very little pooled water outside of the summer monsoon season. However, during the summer monsoon season, the arid Sahel landscape is dotted with turbid ephemeral pools that constitute the preferred breeding habitats of Anopheles gambiae sensu lato mosquitoes, the dominant malaria vector in the region. Zindarou, on the other hand, has shallow groundwater (depth to water table is  $\sim$ 1 m) because it is located in a relic river channel known as the Dallol Bosso. The Zindarou villagers dig shallow garden wells to access water for their vegetable gardens, which exposes a large water surface area to continuous, perennial mosquito breeding. The pooling of rainfall during the summer rains is also exacerbated by the shallow groundwater, because infiltration causes the shallow groundwater table to rise, creating extensive surface expressions of groundwater [\[31\]](#page-13-0). Not surprisingly, this leads to very high mosquito abundance. Figure [3](#page-4-0)



Figure 1 (From Bomblies et al., [[30](#page-13-0)]). Shows the location of the studied villages Banizoumbou ,Zindarou and Niger. The right panel depicts topography within the HAPEX-Sahel square degree, the subject of an intensive international hydrology and climatology research project that took place from 1991 until 1993. The Niger River is seen in the bottom left of the domain, and the "Dallol Bosso" relict river basin is seen on the right. Zindarou's location within the Dallol Bosso results in the village's unique hydrology, whereas Banizoumbou has a more arid hydrology that is typical of the Sahel.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

presents field observations of mosquito abundance in the two villages, showing significantly more mosquitoes in Zindarou than Banizoumbou for both 2005 and 2006.

The hydrological differences between Banizoumbou and Zindarou and the associated differences in mosquito abundance were simulated by Bomblies et al. [\[30](#page-13-0)] using the highly detailed coupled hydrology and entomology numerical model HYDREMATS (Hydrology, Entomology and Malaria Transmission Simulator) (Figure 3). With meteorological forcing from local meteorological stations, the distributed hydrology model component successfully simulated the differences in hourly surface area of breeding habitat availability, and the agent-based entomology



model component coupled to the hydrology model successfully simulated the differences in mosquito populations that were observed using CDC light traps. Despite being very close and subject to nearly the same rainfall and temperature conditions, the two villages have markedly different levels of entomological activity.

In this study, we use HYDREMATS (described below) to investigate the levels of malaria prevalence in the two villages. We do this by extending HYDREMATS to include a representation of transmission of the malaria parasite between humans and mosquitoes. An important aspect to malaria transmission is the semi-protective immunity to disease that is acquired by receiving infectious bites. The resulting partial immunity regulates the density of parasites within the blood, and depends on the intensity of transmission [\[32](#page-13-0)]. Therefore, acquired human immunity exerts a moderating effect on malaria transmission, and is expected to be a significant factor in shaping malaria propagation through the human/ mosquito transmission cycle by a negative feedback mechanism. It follows that for accurate model representation of linkages between environmental variability and malaria prevalence, effects of human immunity must be considered.

#### Description of HYDREMATS model

The Hydrology, Entomology and Malaria Transmission Simulator (HYDREMATS), was developed by Bomblies et al. [[33](#page-13-0)] to simulate the village-scale response of malaria transmission to hydrological and climatological determinants, and has been used in several recent studies in West Africa [[30,34-38](#page-13-0)]. For full details about the development of the hydrology and entomology components of HYDREMATS, and for comparison to field observations of hydrological

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

Figure 4 Schematic of HYDREMATS. This schematic diagram lists the major processes and key parameters represented by the Hydrology, Entomology and Immunology components of HYDREMATS. The arrows represent information that is passed from one component to the next. Model outputs from each component are spatially and temporally explicit.

conditions and mosquito populations, we refer the reader to Bomblies et al. [[33\]](#page-13-0). Key features of the model are described in Additional file [1.](#page-12-0) In this paper, we add an immunology component to the existing hydrology and entomology components of HYDREMATS. A schematic diagram of this combined model is shown in Figure 4. Hydrology is an important proximal determinant that regulates malaria variability at a small scale, because the occurrence and distribution of pooled water often limits the breeding of the Anopheles mosquitoes that transmit the malaria parasite. Although such variation also occurs on a large scale, it can be quite pronounced at a very local scale [\[30,31\]](#page-13-0). Most models seeking to link environmental and climatic conditions to malaria transmission assume perfect mixing in a compartmental structure. Such a structure does not reflect the importance of small-scale proximal determinants that can influence entomological activity near a village (e.g. [\[39,40](#page-13-0)]). High resolution hydrology simulation can represent the important variability in pooled water for mosquito breeding that can regulate village-scale entomological activity [[30](#page-13-0)]. In order to represent this small-scale variation in pooled water, the hydrology component of HYDREMATS uses environmental inputs to mechanistically simulate the runoff of rainfall into water pools and the drying of these pools due to evapotranspiration and infiltration, resulting in the spatial distribution of water depths and temperatures for each 10 meter x 10 meter grid cell, for each 1 hour timestep. These distributions serve as the inputs for the entomology component of the model [[33](#page-13-0)].

The entomology component of HYDREMATS simulates individual mosquitoes and human agents. Human individuals are assumed to be immobile and are assigned to mapped village residences, as malaria transmission in this region occurs primarily at night when humans are indoors [[41\]](#page-13-0). Mosquito agents have a probabilistic response to their environment based on a prescribed set of rules governing dispersal and discrete events including development of larval stages, feeding, egg-laying and death [[33\]](#page-13-0).

An agent-based simulation of mosquito population dynamics that maintains spatial structure of the population can link malaria risk with distributed hydrology. Such a model must track the infected status of mosquitoes and individual humans with the transmission moderated by an individual human's immune status, ultimately linking environmental conditions around an individual village to

malaria prevalence within that village. We present such a model in this study, and compare simulated prevalence to field-measured malaria prevalence in Banizoumbou and Zindarou. The resulting combination creates a mechanistic modeling link between environmental variability (e.g. land use patterns, hydrological variability, and climatic variability) and malaria prevalence that maintains the spatial structure of human and mosquito populations and their relationships with the environment. This is the most comprehensive malaria transmission model to our knowledge, mechanistically simulating the hydrological, entomological, and immunological processes involved in linking environmental forcing to indices of malaria transmission (See Figure [4](#page-5-0)). The Liverpool Malaria Model [[42\]](#page-13-0) also simulates processes from environmental inputs through malaria prevalence, but uses a coarser spatial and temporal resolution and compartmental structures for human and mosquito populations, and uses 10-day accumulated rainfall as a proxy for pool availability rather than mechanistically simulating hydrological processes.

# Extension of HYDREMATS model to include immunological processes

The hydrology and entomology components of HYDRE-MATS were developed by Bomblies et al. [\[33\]](#page-13-0) as an agentbased model in which individual mosquitoes interact with their immediate environment. In this paper, HYDREMATS is extended to simulate the immunological processes of malaria transmission as illustrated by Figure [4](#page-5-0). HYDREMATS includes a representation of infected status for both humans and mosquitoes. Infected status is tracked as an attribute of both mosquito and human individuals, and contact between a sporozoite-infected, host-seeking mosquito and a human host can result in an inoculation. Simulated individual mosquitoes are able to acquire parasite infection if they ingest an infectious blood meal, and the infectious stage of the mosquito-borne parasite—the sporozoite—forms after 111 degree-days above 16 degrees C [[43](#page-13-0)]. At this point, the mosquitoes are able to transmit a new infection to the next human bloodmeal host, completing one cycle of transmission. If animal hosts are chosen for a bloodmeal instead of humans, no transmission occurs. Once infected, mosquitoes remain infected for life, and once the parasite has reached the sporozoite stage within the mosquito, it remains in this stage for the duration of the mosquito's life. In contrast, human individuals clear the parasite at rate  $r$ , described below.

Since many details of immunity and malaria transmission remain unknown and thus are difficult to parameterize, we present a model with minimal parameters. Whenever possible, parameter values are taken from literature presented in the background section. Where no exact value was given, we assumed parameter values that are consistent with current knowledge. Of course, model results will

depend on choice of parameters, and a perfect fit and parameterization is not a goal of this study. Rather, we seek to reproduce general observed trends with a simple model to help understand the effect of immunity in high resolution individual-based models and inform future malaria modeling efforts of such nature.

Human immunity for each human individual is represented in HYDREMATS the index imm, which varies from 0 (immunologically naïve) to 1 (fully developed immunity). Each day, the immunity (imm) of any human individual that has received at least one infectious bite during the previous 24 hours is raised by parameter s, regardless of that human individual's infected status, up to a maximum of 1. We set parameter  $s$  to  $1/60$  per infectious bite, reflecting the slow build up of immunity to parasitaemia through childhood and adolescence [\[44](#page-14-0)]. Immunity is lost at a rate of 0.019% per day, corresponding to a half- life of ten years, reflecting the protective effects of immunity on the order of decades in the absence of exposure [[32\]](#page-13-0).

Each time a human individual is subjected to an infectious bite, the probability of infection is given by:

 $\mathbf{b} = (\text{bmin-bmax})^*$ imm + bmax

where *bmax* and *bmin* are parameters reflecting the probability of infection with no immunity and full immunity, respectively. A uniform random number is generated and compared to  $b$  to determine if the human individual has acquired the parasite from the infectious bite.

A recent compilation of observed values of  $b$  gave a range between 0.01 and 0.49 [\[42](#page-13-0)]. We reflect this range by setting bmax=0.5 and bmin=0.05. The non-zero value of bmin allows even fully immune individuals to contribute to the disease reservoir [\[13](#page-13-0)].

The duration of a simulated human malaria infection depends on the individual's immunity level, such that the duration of disease shortens as immunity increases. The duration of each infection is exponentially distributed with rate parameter,  $r$ , set to

# $r=(rmax\text{-}rmin)^*a+\text{rmin}$

The value for  $rmin$  is set to  $1/220$  days<sup>-1</sup>, which is consistent with the mean duration of infection found in immunologically naïve adults infected with malaria [[45](#page-14-0)]. As we could not find a published estimate for rmax, we assume that full immunity doubles the clearance rate and set  $rmax$  to  $1/110$  days<sup>-1</sup>. The recovery rate is also affected by superinfection, the state of an individual having two or more concurrent malaria infections, following the assumption made by Macdonald [\[46](#page-14-0)] and Dietz et al. [[18](#page-13-0)] that multiple infections can occur simultaneously and the duration of each infection is not affected by the presence of other infections. Thus each infection within a human is tracked separately and must be cleared independently at rate r.

We did not include an effect of immunity on the probability that a mosquito is infected when biting an infected human, due to the high uncertainty regarding the effect of acquired immunity on human infectivity to mosquitoes. However, this could easily be modified in the model, should more definitive information come to light.

HYDREMATS was modified so that each human in the village population is assigned an age, distributed according to local demographics [\[47\]](#page-14-0). The initial immunity level is proportional to an individual's initial age, reflecting the accumulation of immunity over time. Humans age as the model progresses, and at each time step, they are subjected to a probability of death equivalent to 0.0436/year. In order to maintain a constant population size, a new child is born into the model population each time a human dies.

Figure 5 shows a schematic of the malaria transmission model within HYDREMATS. Solid arrows represent the progress of individual human and mosquito individuals through infectious states, and dashed arrows indicate the transmission of malaria parasites through mosquito bites. The parameters for the immunity model are listed in Table [1.](#page-8-0) The sensitivity of disease prevalence to parameter values was assessed by perturbing each parameter by 10% and observing the effect on mean annual prevalence after 10 years of simulation in Banizoumbou.

With this formulation, malaria prevalence depends on resistance acquired over several years of repeated inoculations



period and then become infectious to subsequent humans.

within a population. We stress that this is a very simple representation of a very complex and highly developed human immune response to the malaria parasite. Nevertheless, the model representation of immunity captures many of the important aspects regarding the role of immunity in malaria transmission. It allows the effects of immunity on malaria transmission to be incorporated into the model in a flexible and representative manner. This formulation allows simulated prevalence to be compared to observed prevalence while maintaining spatial structure.

#### Simulations

To assess the importance of the difference in immunity between the two villages Banizoumbou and Zindarou, we first conduct a simulation for each village where the immunity level for each individual is static, remaining at 0.2 for the duration of the simulation. Climate forcing from 2006, recorded at the each village's meteorological station, was repeated twenty times in order to achieve a steady state in malaria prevalence and immunity. We then conducted a twenty year simulation in each village using the dynamic immunity model described above, where individuals acquire immunity as they accumulate infectious bites and lose immunity in the absence of inoculations.

#### Field observations

Field measurements of malaria prevalence were made in Zindarou and Banizoumbou between December 2005 and February 2007. The populations of Zindarou and Banizoumbou are roughly 500 and 1000, respectively, of which approximately 20% are under the age of 5 [[47](#page-14-0)]. Bimonthly blood samples were taken from approximately 25 children aged one to five years old in each village. The sample size was decided based on financial and practical constraints. Resulting blood smears were analyzed microscopically for parasite presence. Children with observed or reported fever were sent to a local health clinic for treatment in accordance to national malaria treatment guidelines. Ethical clearance was obtained from the National Ethics Committee of Niger.

## Results and discussion

This study has simulated malaria transmission in two villages, Banizoumbou and Zindarou, Niger, which are subject to nearly identical climatic conditions, but are hydrologically very different. Mosquito captures in both villages during the 2006 rainy season show that abundance in Zindarou is approximately ten times that of Banizoumbou, and other years have shown the same order-of-magnitude difference in light trap captures [\[33](#page-13-0)]. However, the prevalence measured in the two villages was not significantly different, despite the order of magnitude difference in mosquito abundance. For the period February

### <span id="page-8-0"></span>Table 1 Parameters for immunology component of HYDREMATS



2004 – December 2006, average prevalence was 0.54, 95% CI [0.50, 0.58] in Banizoumbou and 0.56, 95% CI [0.51, 0.61] in Zindarou. Bimonthly prevalence for the year 2006 is shown for both villages in Figure 6. The much higher vector population of Zindarou corresponds to very similar prevalence to that of Banizoumbou. This surprising result suggests that acquired immunity resists the malaria parasite within the human population, and that the high inoculation rate in Zindarou boosts immunity such that prevalence is moderated. Our simple immunity model captured this moderating effect, as shown by comparison of model results to prevalence as determined by blood smears in village children.

We conducted two simulations for each village; one with static immunity where each person's immunity is set at a constant level of 0.2 throughout the simulation, and one with dynamic immunity where an individual's immunity level responds to infectious bites. Figure [7](#page-9-0) shows the simulated prevalence in the static immunity simulation for the overall population (left panel) as well



<span id="page-9-0"></span>

as for children under five (right panel). As expected, the increased mosquito activity in Zindarou led to higher rates of malaria transmission than in Banizoumbou, and as a result, the prevalence levels in Zindarou are much higher than in Banizoumbou. In both villages, there is a strong seasonal signal in simulated prevalence, consistent with increased biting during the summer monsoon period, which peaks in mid-August in southern Niger. Because this simulation assigns the same immunity level to all human individuals regardless of their age, there is little difference in simulated prevalence between children and adults. The most notable difference is the lower minimum prevalence in children than in adults in both villages. This is the <5 age group and includes the continuous birth of malaria-free humans. Children born during the dry season are likely to remain free of infection until the following transmission season, thus lowering the average prevalence of this age group.

In contrast to the static immunity simulations, the dynamic immunity model results in higher immunity levels in Zindarou than in Banizoumbou as a result of the greater mosquito population in Zindarou. The resulting simulated malaria prevalence for each village is shown in the left panel of Figure [8](#page-10-0). Here, the difference in prevalence between the two villages is dramatically reduced. Banizoumbou has relatively low prevalence for the duration of the simulation. Zindarou initially has higher levels of prevalence, until the increased transmission raises population immunity and prevalence rates begin to decrease. The mean immunity levels in the two villages are shown in Figure [9](#page-10-0). Mean immunity in both villages begins at 0.2, as individuals are given an initial value of  $a$  consistent with their age. In Banizoumbou, the mean immunity level decreases slightly to an equilibrium value between 0.16 and 0.18, while in Zindarou the level increases in response to greater numbers of infectious bites.

We can also compare the malaria prevalence in children under five years old, shown in the right panel of Figure [9](#page-10-0). In this age group, we see greater differences in prevalence between the two villages. In Banizoumbou malaria prevalence in the <5 year old group is very similar to prevalence in adults, as even adults do not have very high levels of immunity. In contrast, the higher inoculation rate in Zindarou leads to higher immunity in adults, so the prevalence in <5 year olds is higher than the general population.

The simulated prevalence levels in Zindarou were between 20% and 70%, which is consistent with the field observations of prevalence. However, the simulations in Banizoumbou underestimated prevalence, ranging from 8% in the dry season to 30% at the peak of the malaria season. As a result, our simulations show higher prevalence in Zindarou than in Banizoumbou, while field observations do now show a significant difference in prevalence between the two villages. Despite not perfectly replicating the observed prevalence in the two villages, our simulations support the hypothesis that acquired immunity to malaria dampens the difference in prevalence between the two villages that may have been expected given the difference in mosquito populations. In the static immunity simulations, the mean annual prevalence was 59 percentage points higher in Zindarou than in Banizoumbou. In the dynamic immunity simulation, the difference in prevalence between the two villages drops to 22 percentage points.

The improved ability of the model to simulate observed prevalence compared to prevalence without immunity underscores the importance of the negative feedback associated

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

with immunity in the linkage of environmental variability and malaria. However, there are several factors that may be contributing to the difference between simulated and observed prevalence. One possible source of error is the parameterization of the entomology model. While the model properly reproduces relative differences observed in mosquitoes captured by light traps in each village [[30\]](#page-13-0), it is not possible to compare the number of simulated mosquitoes to total mosquito population in the village. It is possible that both villages have more mosquitoes than are simulated under current parameterization.

Another possible source of error is the parameterization of the immunity model. In our sensitivity analysis, we found that the model was most sensitive to disease clearance rate in people with no immunity (rmin). A longer mean duration of infection would lead to higher prevalence in both villages, especially in Banizoumbou, where the lower immunity rates mean that the recovery rate is closer to rmin than in Zindarou, where higher immunity rates increase the recovery rate. While the parameter *rmin* was set to  $1/220 \text{ day}^{-1}$  based on data from immunologically naïve adults [[45](#page-14-0)], it is certainly possible that the clearance



rate is different in the study population. For example, one study estimated the mean duration of infection in children 1–4 years old to be 625 days [\[6\]](#page-13-0). A third possible source of error is the sampling of village children for the prevalence data. Children testing positive for malaria at each bi-weekly sampling were treated with anti-malarial drugs. Because there were twice as many children in Banizoumbou compared to Zindarou, it was more likely for a child selected for testing in Zindarou to have been tested and treated in the past. Also, the relatively small sample size leads to a wide variance in prevalence estimates.

The model sensitivity analysis indicated greatest sensitivity to the value of rmin, with a 35% increase in prevalence when the minimum recovery rate was decreased by 10%. The duration of infection is important in sustaining malaria transmission in areas with low and highly seasonal transmission [\[29](#page-13-0)]. Long infections carry the parasite over from one transmission season to the next. The sensitivity analysis showed low sensitivity to other parameter values, with no perturbation leading to more than 6% change in mean prevalence. Results of the sensitivity analysis are shown in Figure 10 and Table [1.](#page-8-0)

The combined model we presented here, which includes a simple representation of acquired immunity, completes a mechanistic modeling linkage between hydrological variability and village-scale malaria dynamics. While malaria models incorporating immunity are not new, the presented model has a novel structure that allows the spatially- and

temporally-varying environmental controls of mosquito population dynamics to determine prevalence as well as variability in protective immunity of the population. This is the only model to our knowledge that provides an explicit link from environmental inputs to malaria prevalence through modelling hydrological, entomological and immunological processes.

While the simulation of overall village prevalence shown in this study could have been achieved using one of the many existing compartmental models of malaria transmission [\[18-24](#page-13-0)] driven by the time-series of mosquito biting rates simulated by HYDREMATS, we developed an individual-based model in order to provide a framework for using spatially-explicit individual based models to link environmental variability to malaria transmission in human populations, with the ultimate goal of simulating the impact of environmental changes (changes in regional climate, climate variability and land use) on malaria transmission in human populations at the village scale. The spatially explicit structure of this model allows the spatial relationships between developmental habitat and human population to be maintained. Such a structure is important when simulating the individual mosquitoes that make up a population and ultimately deliver the inoculations leading to malaria prevalence and immunity, because their behavioral decisions depend on their immediate environment and thus distributed land use and hydrology characteristics. Pooled water



<span id="page-12-0"></span>some distance from a village will host far fewer subadult mosquitoes than pooled water close to the village or within the village itself because the proximity of pools to human hosts makes them much more easily accessible to ovipositing female mosquitoes and hence more likely to be utilized for breeding. In short, a person's location within a village can influence malaria risk, as risk is heterogeneous [[48,49](#page-14-0)]. Flight distances of mosquitoes from developmental habitat to human blood meal hosts also affects the chances of encounters leading to inoculations, which the presented spatial model represents. The number of inoculations thus depends on spatial relationships of hosts and habitat, and in turn affects the immunity and prevalence levels. The model presented represents a mechanistic linkage between spatial and temporal environmental variability and malaria prevalence in human populations. By tracking the past exposure of each individual human, the individual-based approach provides a more realistic representation of the processes of malaria transmission than compartmental models.

The moderating effect of immunity on malaria prevalence has been shown by others. Dietz et al. [\[18\]](#page-13-0) compared two Nigerian villages and found that despite significant differences in vectorial capacity there were only modest differences in malaria prevalence. This information was used in developing the immunity and superinfection aspects of their malaria transmission model. An entomological and parasitological survey in The Gambia found a negative correlation between vector abundance and malaria prevalence, suggesting substantial differences in immunity between neighboring villages [[50\]](#page-14-0). Macdonald [[51\]](#page-14-0) also emphasized the importance of acquired immunity in regulating malaria transmission in the context of field observations and modeling results, and cautioned against incomplete anti-malaria interventions that weaken a population's immunity, an effect that is now called rebound malaria and has been observed following interventions [\[52-54\]](#page-14-0). Our results are consistent with observations that large differences in EIR do not necessarily lead to changes in prevalence [[15](#page-13-0)].

Simulated malaria prevalence in the human population is useful for comparison to field observations; however, a more useful metric for the vulnerability of a village population to malaria epidemics stemming from climate variability, is the immunity level. Zindarou has higher immunity levels than Banizoumbou due to the large number of inoculations resulting from higher vectorial capacity. Anomalously wet climate conditions would boost mosquito populations, and would plague each with higherthan-normal mosquito abundance. Zindarou, with a higher immunity level, would be able to withstand the increased force of infection more than Banizoumbou. The greater immunological defense in Zindarou protects the human population more than in Banizoumbou. Climatic variability brings about differences in vectorial capacity, and the resulting changes in rates of malaria inoculation boost the immune response, which wanes slowly. Repeated years of high vectorial capacity bring about high population immunity, and several years without high levels of transmission can cause immunity levels to wane. Such conditions can make populations very susceptible to devastating malaria epidemics when conditions shift back to promote more intensive biting pressure.

## Conclusion

A simple representation of malaria transmission and the acquired immunity to malaria was developed and embedded in an agent-based model of host-vector-parasite interactions surrounding two villages in Niger, allowing us to simulate prevalence in the two villages, and to observe the effects of acquired immunity. Although the simulated prevalence does not exactly match observations, it does show how acquired immunity dampens the effect of increased biting. Without the effects of immunity, Zindarou would have much higher prevalence than Banizoumbou. However, when we include the effect of immunity, prevalence in Zindarou significantly decreases and approaches Banizoumbou levels. We attribute the lack of discernible difference in measured prevalence between the villages despite pronounced differences in vector abundance to the moderating effect of acquired immunity. Although model and field data from this study suggest that village scale malaria prevalence is largely independent of hydrologic conditions, according to the model the danger to the community depends on the level of acquired immunity, which in turn depends on hydrologically driven mosquito abundance over previous years. Greater acquired immunity in wet Zindarou resulting from more inoculations than in dry Banizoumbou renders the villagers more resilient and less vulnerable to malaria epidemics associated with climate anomalies (e.g. unusually wet years) than their counterparts in Banizoumbou.

#### Additional file

#### [Additional file 1:](http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-3305-6-226-S1.pdf) Additional details of the HYDREMATS model.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

TKY and AB conducted the modelling study and drafted the manuscript. EABE supervised the study, provided advice for study design and implementation, and participated in writing the final version of the manuscript. JBD and IML provided malaria prevalence data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

Modelling work was funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation grant EAR- 0946280. Malaria prevalence sampling was funded by the African

<span id="page-13-0"></span>Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis (AMMA). Based on a French initiative, AMMA was built by an international scientific group and is currently funded by a large number of agencies, especially from France, UK, US and Africa. It has been the beneficiary of a major financial contribution from the European Community's Sixth Framework Research Programme.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Room 48-207, 15 Vassar Street, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. <sup>2</sup>School of Engineering, University of Vermont, 33 Colchester Ave, Burlington, VT 05405, USA. <sup>3</sup>Centre de Recherche Médicale et Sanitaire, International Network of the Pasteur Institute, Niamey, Niger.

#### Received: 20 May 2013 Accepted: 1 August 2013 Published: 7 August 2013

#### References

- 1. Molineaux L, Muir D, Spencer H, Wernsdorfer W, McGregor I: The epidemiology of malaria and its measurement. Malaria: Princ Pract Malariol 1988, 2:999–1089.
- 2. Gupta S, Snow RW, Donnelly CA, Marsh K, Newbold C: Immunity to non-cerebral severe malaria is acquired after one or two infections. Nat Med 1999, 5(3):340–343.
- 3. Schofield L, Grau GE: Immunological processes in malaria pathogenesis. Nat Rev Immunol 2005, 5(9):722–735.
- 4. Agnandji ST, et al: First results of phase 3 trial of RTS, S/AS01 malaria vaccine in African children. N Engl J Med 2011, 365(20):1863–1875.
- 5. Smith T, Maire N, Dietz K, Killeen GF, Vounatsou P, Molineaux L, Tanner M: Relationship between the entomologic inoculation rate and the force of infection for Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2006, 75(2 suppl):11.
- 6. Bekessy A, Molineaux L, Storey J: Estimation of incidence and recovery rates of Plasmodium falciparum parasitaemia from longitudinal data. Bull World Health Organ 1976, 54(6):685.
- 7. Hoffman SL, Oster CN, Plowe CV, Woollett GR, Beier JC, Chulay JD, Wirtz RA, Hollingdale MR, Mugambi M: Naturally acquired antibodies to sporozoites do not prevent malaria: vaccine development implications. Science (New York, NY) 1987, 237(4815):639.
- 8. Molineaux L, Gramiccia G: The Garki Project. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1980.
- Maire N, Smith T, Ross A, Owusu-Agyei S, Dietz K, Molineaux L: A model for natural immunity to asexual blood stages of Plasmodium falciparum malaria in endemic areas. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2006, 75(2 suppl):19.
- 10. Githeko A, Brandling-Bennett A, Beier M, Atieli F, Owaga M, Collins F: The reservoir of Plasmodium falciparum malaria in a holoendemic area of western Kenya\* 1. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 1992, 86(4):355–358.
- 11. Bonnet S, Gouagna L, Paul R, Safeukui I, Meunier JY, Boudin C: Estimation of malaria transmission from humans to mosquitoes in two neighbouring villages in south Cameroon: evaluation and comparison of several indices. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2003, 97(1):53-59.
- 12. Drakeley C, Sutherland C, Bousema JT, Sauerwein RW, Targett GAT: The epidemiology of Plasmodium falciparum gametocytes: weapons of mass dispersion. Trends Parasitol 2006, 22(9):424–430.
- 13. Muirhead-Thomson RC: The malarial infectivity of an African village population to mosquitoes (Anopheles gambiae): a random xenodiagnostic survey. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1957, 6(6):971.
- 14. Buckling A, Read AF: The effect of partial host immunity on the transmission of malaria parasites. Proc Roy Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2001, 268(1483):2325.
- Beier JC, Killeen GF, Githure JI: Short report: entomologic inoculation rates and Plasmodium falciparum malaria prevalence in Africa. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1999, 61(1):109–113.
- 16. Diuk-Wasser M, Bagayoko M, Sogoba N, Dolo G, Touré M, Traoré S, Taylor C: Mapping rice field anopheline breeding habitats in Mali, West Africa, using Landsat ETM sensor data. Int J Remote Sens 2004, 25(2):359.
- 17. Snow RW, Omumbo JA, Lowe B, Molyneux CS, Obiero JO, Palmer A, Weber MW, Pinder M, Nahlen B, Obonyo C: Relation between severe malaria morbidity in children and level of< i> Plasmodium falciparum</i> transmission in Africa. Lancet 1997, 349(9066):1650–1654.
- 18. Dietz K, Molineaux L, Thomas A: A malaria model tested in the African savannah. Bull World Health Organ 1974, 50(3-4):347.
- 19. Aron JL: Mathematical modelling of immunity to malaria. Math Biosci 1988, 90(1–2):385–396.
- 20. Yang HM: Malaria transmission model for different levels of acquired immunity and temperature-dependent parameters (vector). Revista De Saúde Pública 2000, 34(3):223–231.
- 21. Filipe JAN, Riley EM, Drakeley CJ, Sutherland CJ, Ghani AC: Determination of the processes driving the acquisition of immunity to malaria using a mathematical transmission model. PLoS Comput Biol 2007, 3(12):e255.
- 22. Chivaka C, Garira W, Dube S: Transmission model of endemic human malaria in a partially immune population. Math Comput Model 2007, 46(5–6):806–822.
- 23. Águas R, White LJ, Snow RW, Gomes MGM: Prospects for malaria eradication in sub-Saharan Africa. PLoS One 2008, 3(3):e1767.
- 24. Chitnis N, Hyman JM, Cushing JM: Determining important parameters in the spread of malaria through the sensitivity analysis of a mathematical model. Bull Math Biol 2008, 70(5):1272–1296.
- 25. Smith T, Killeen GF, Maire N, Ross A, Molineaux L, Tediosi F, Hutton G, Utzinger J, Dietz K, Tanner M: Mathematical modeling of the impact of malaria vaccines on the clinical epidemiology and natural history of Plasmodium falciparum malaria: Overview. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2006, 75(2 suppl):1.
- 26. Smith T, Maire N, Ross A, Penny M, Chitnis N, Schapira A, Studer A, Genton B, Lengeler C, Tediosi F: Towards a comprehensive simulation model of malaria epidemiology and control. Parasitology 2008, 135(13):1507–1516.
- 27. Ross A, Killeen G, Smith T: Relationships between host infectivity to mosquitoes and asexual parasite density in Plasmodium falciparum. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2006, 75(2 suppl):32.
- 28. Griffin JT, Hollingsworth TD, Okell LC, Churcher TS, White M, Hinsley W, Bousema T, Drakeley CJ, Ferguson NM, Basáñez MG: Reducing Plasmodium falciparum malaria transmission in Africa: a model-based evaluation of intervention strategies. PLoS Med 2010, 7(8):1–27.
- 29. Gu W, Novak RJ: Habitat-based modeling of impacts of mosquito larval interventions on entomological inoculation rates, incidence, and prevalence of malaria. Am J Trop Med Hyg 2005, 73(3):546.
- 30. Bomblies A, Duchemin JB, Eltahir EAB: A mechanistic approach for accurate simulation of village-scale malaria transmission. Malar J 2009, 8(1):223.
- 31. Bomblies A: The hydrology of malaria: field observations and mechanistic modeling of the malaria transmission response to environmental climatic variability. In PhD thesis. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Civil & Environmental Engineering, Cambridge, MA, USA; 2009.
- 32. Struik SS, Riley EM: Does malaria suffer from lack of memory? Immunol Rev 2004, 201(1):268–290.
- 33. Bomblies A, Duchemin JB, Eltahir EAB: Hydrology of malaria: Model development and application to a Sahelian village. Water Resour Res 2008, 44(12).
- 34. Gianotti RL, Bomblies A, Eltahir EAB: Hydrologic modeling to screen potential environmental management methods for malaria vector control in Niger. Water Resour Res 2009, 45(8), W08438.
- 35. Yamana TK, Eltahir EA: Early warnings of the potential for malaria transmission in rural Africa using the hydrology, entomology and malaria transmission simulator (HYDREMATS). Malar J 2010, 9:323.
- 36. Bomblies A, Eltahir EAB: Assessment of the impact of climate shifts on malaria transmission in the Sahel. Ecohealth 2010, 6(3):426–437.
- 37. Yamana TK, Eltahir EAB: On the use of satellite-based estimates of rainfall temporal distribution to simulate the potential for malaria transmission in rural Africa. Water Resour Res 2011, 47(2), W02540.
- 38. Bomblies A: Modeling the role of rainfall patterns in seasonal malaria transmission. Clim Change 2012, 112(3–4):673–685.
- 39. Hoshen M, Morse A: A weather-driven model of malaria transmission. Malar J 2004, 3(1):32.
- 40. Craig MH, Snow RW, le Sueur D: A climate-based distribution model of malaria transmission in sub-Saharan Africa. Parasitol Today 1999, 15(3):105–111.
- 41. Service M: Mosquito ecology: field sampling methods. 2nd edition. London, U.K: Elsevier Applied Science; 1993.
- 42. Ermert V, Fink A, Jones A, Morse A: Development of a new version of the Liverpool Malaria Model. I. Refining the parameter settings and mathematical formulation of basic processes based on a literature review. Malar J 2011, 10(1):35.
- 43. Detinova TS: Age-grouping methods in Diptera of medical importance with special reference to some vectors of malaria. Monogr Ser World Health Organ 1962, 47:13–191.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>44. Langhorne J, Ndungu FM, Sponaas AM, Marsh K: Immunity to malaria: more questions than answers. Nat Immunol 2008, 9(7):725–732.
- 45. Jeffery GM, Eyles DE: The duration in the human host of infections with a Panama strain of Plasmodium falciparum. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1954, 3(2):219–224.
- 46. Macdonald G: The analysis of infection rates in diseases in which super infection occurs. Trop Dis Bull 1950, 47:907–915.
- 47. Niger. Bureau central du recensement, Niger. Ministère de l'économie et des finances. Sécretariat général: Résultats définitifs: répartition par sexe et par groupe d'âges, selon la situation matrimoniale de la population du Niger en 2001. Niamey, Niger: Bureau central du recensement; 2005.
- 48. Kreuels B, Kobbe R, Adjei S, Kreuzberg C, von Reden C, Bäter K, Klug S, Busch W, Adjei O, May J: Spatial variation of malaria incidence in young children from a geographically homogeneous area with high endemicity. J Infect Dis 2008, 197(1):85–93.
- 49. Machault V, Vignolles C, Borchi F, Vounatsou P, Briolant S, Lacaux J, Rogier C: The use of remotely sensed environmental data in the study of malaria. Geospat Health 2011, 5(2):151–168.
- 50. Thomas C, Lindsay S: Local-scale variation in malaria infection amongst rural Gambian children estimated by satellite remote sensing. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 2000, 94(2):159–163.
- 51. Macdonald G: The measurement of malaria transmission. Proc R Soc Med 1955, 48(4):295.
- 52. Trape J, Tall A, Diagne N, Ndiath O, Ly AB, Faye J, Dieye-Ba F, Roucher C, Bouganali C, Badiane A: Malaria morbidity and pyrethroid resistance after the introduction of insecticide-treated bednets and artemisinin-based combination therapies: a longitudinal study. Lancet Infect Dis 2011, 11(12):925–932.
- 53. Mockenhaupt FP, Reither K, Zanger P, Roepcke F, Danquah I, Saad E, Ziniel P, Dzisi SY, Frempong M, Agana-Nsiire P: Intermittent preventive treatment in infants as a means of malaria control: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in northern Ghana. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2007, 51(9):3273–3281.
- 54. Menendez C, Kahigwa E, Hirt R, Vounatsou P, Aponte JJ, Font F, Acosta CJ, Schellenberg DM, Galindo CM, Kimario J: Randomised placebo-controlled trial of iron supplementation and malaria chemoprophylaxis for prevention of severe anaemia and malaria in Tanzanian infants. Lancet 1997, 350(9081):844–850.

#### doi:10.1186/1756-3305-6-226

Cite this article as: Yamana et al.: Linking environmental variability to village-scale malaria transmission using a simple immunity model. Parasites & Vectors 2013 6:226

### **Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:**

- **Convenient online submission**
- **Thorough peer review**
- **No space constraints or color figure charges**
- **Immediate publication on acceptance**
- **Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar**

**BioMed** Central

**• Research which is freely available for redistribution**

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit