#### **University of Vermont**

#### **UVM ScholarWorks**

Public Health Projects, 2008-present

Public Health Projects, University of Vermont College of Medicine

1-22-2020

#### Physician Attitudes Toward Screening for Social Determinants of Health

Michael Barnum University of Vermont

Rosie Friedman University of Vermont

Tierra Lynch University of Vermont

Collin Montgomery University of Vermont

Irene Sue University of Vermont

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/comphp\_gallery



Part of the Community Health and Preventive Medicine Commons, and the Health Services Research

Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

Barnum, Michael; Friedman, Rosie; Lynch, Tierra; Montgomery, Collin; Sue, Irene; Wells, Jenna; Yousef, Hakeem; Cote, Elizabeth; and Maclean, Charles, "Physician Attitudes Toward Screening for Social Determinants of Health" (2020). Public Health Projects, 2008-present. 302. https://scholarworks.uvm.edu/comphp\_gallery/302

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the Public Health Projects, University of Vermont College of Medicine at UVM ScholarWorks. It has been accepted for inclusion in Public Health Projects, 2008-present by an authorized administrator of UVM ScholarWorks. For more information, please contact scholarworks@uvm.edu.

Authors Michael Barnum, Rosie Friedman, Tierra Lynch, Collin Montgomery, Irene Sue, Jenna Yousef, Elizabeth Cote, and Charles Maclean	Wells, Hakeem

## Physician Attitudes Toward Screening For Social Determinants of Health



Rosie Friedman, Tierra Lynch, Collin Montgomery, Hakeem Yousef, Michael Barnum, Irene Sue, Jenna Wells, Elizabeth Cote, and Charles MacLean, MD
Robert D Larner MD College of Medicine at the University of Vermont

**THEMES** 



### **INTRODUCTION**

- Social determinants of health (SDH) have a significant impact on health outcomes
- Screening for SDH in the clinical setting can identify at risk patients, but follow-up and management remain challenging
- The process of screening for SDH varies widely across organizations and practices

**GOAL:** To determine physician attitudes & practices regarding screening and follow-up for social determinants of health

## **METHODS**

- Family Medicine physicians in Chittenden County, VT were interviewed in October 2019 using an interview guide developed by the research team
- Each transcript was read in full and qualitatively analyzed for themes by 3 members of the study team
- Themes were coded and categorized based on team consensus

## **PHYSICIAN DEMOGRAPHICS**

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics (n=16)

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics (n=16)	
Characteristic	Number (%)
Gender	
Female, N (%)	11 (69%)
Employment Category	
FQHC	5 (31%)
Academic	1 (6%)
Hospital-Owned	6 (38%)
Private	4 (25%)
Clinical Experience	
New (≤5 years)	4 (25%)
Experienced (6-10 years)	3 (19%)
Seasoned (>10 years)	9 (56%)

## Recognize

**Importance** 

"[SDH] can have a **bigger impact** on patient care than any medicine I can prescribe."

"[Addressing SDH] is a

big part of what a healthy community does,

and a medical system is a big part of a healthy community."

"[SDH] might not be on a problem or diagnosis list, but should be."

# Supportive of Screening

"Any screening is better than not doing it. The temptation is to say, 'that's not what I was trained for, that's not my job, there's someone else who should be doing that,' but we're not going to get anywhere by saying 'not it'."

"You don't always ask those questions and the patient doesn't always bring it up. **It's easy to overlook**."

## Inadequate Tools

"It's incredibly important but the systems that we use are making it very challenging to do it meaningfully."

"Really it's just a **band- aid approach**, which I do
think is necessary because
these are urgent issues that
are affecting real people."

"Medical problems are down stream. **Policy level change** is needed to address the root cause."

# **Need for Social Work**

"Having [our social worker]
physically here for warm
hand-offs is important.
Addressing needs in real
time would be a big
improvement."

"Not having a social worker here has **hurt outcomes**."

"It depends on what the social need is, nine out of ten times I will refer the patient to social work."

# Variable Self Efficacy

"It's still not enough and you can't reverse certain things and you can't just give them a salary or start their phone up again. It's humbling."

"Yes, but there's a giant sand dune and I'm six feet up and there's so much more we could be doing and doing better."

"I'm making an effort, some days more than others, some days I really just want to check boxes."

# SAFETY ENVIRONMENT SAFETY ENVIRONMENT EMPLOYMENT FOOD STRESS ECONOMIC STABILITY SOCIAL LITERACY HOUSING COMMUNITY QUALITY OF CARE

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

- Family Medicine physicians understand the importance of SDH, support screening, and most are currently doing it
- BUT...they have inadequate screening tools/workflows and strongly support more (and better integrated) collaboration with social work
- Despite progress, there are variable feelings of self-efficacy

## **LIMITATIONS**

- Geographic (Chittenden County)
- Scope of practice (Family Medicine)
- Selection bias
- Small sample size

## •

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS** 

- Obtain **patient** perspective on screening
   SDH and delivery methods
- Develop best-practice screening and referral workflows to fully integrate social work into medical practice
- Stimulate discussion regarding community versus medical solutions to SDH issues

## **DISCUSSION**

Physicians recognize the effects of SDH on patients' overall health

Despite substantial knowledge base, physicians are still interested in ongoing education about SDH

There is **tension** between the idea of universal screening being important for patient health and screening for problems for which physicians cannot always offer solutions

Screening raises social awareness

There is a wide variability and inconsistency in screening methods for SDH

The study of SDH is currently an immature field and there is not yet a universal standard screening method

There is a **high demand** for social work within family medicine practices

Social work resources need to be better integrated into practices and physicians should utilize warm hand-offs

Physicians feel they are not changing the system at large, but making a difference one patient at a time

Physicians are frustrated by lack of systemic solutions, lowering their self efficacy