

# UVM ScholarWorks

## The Efficacy of Tele-practice on Expressive Language Outcomes for Adults with Aphasia

Item Type	project;article
Authors	Fifield, Erin;Bailey, Morgan;Burningham, Lauren
Download date	2026-05-15 07:18:47
Link to Item	<a href="https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14849/1330">https://hdl.handle.net/20.500.14849/1330</a>

# The Efficacy of Telepractice on Expressive Language Outcomes for Adults with Aphasia: A Systematic Review

Morgan Bailey B.S., Lauren Burningham, B.S., B.A., Erin Fifield, B.A.



## BACKGROUND

### Aphasia:

- Acquired neuropathy
- Caused by stroke, head injury, brain tumors, toxemia, infections or abscesses
- Disrupts an individual's ability to speak, comprehend and/or produce language
- 2,000,000+ people with aphasia currently live in the United States<sup>1</sup>

### Telepractice:

- "The application of telecommunications technology to the delivery of speech-language pathology and audiology professional services at a distance"<sup>2</sup>
  - *Teletherapy* involves live access to a trained clinician that occurs in real-time
  - *Telerehabilitation* includes services and activities that do not require the direct involvement of the SLP

### Expressive Language

- The use of oral language to convey messages to communicative partners

## PURPOSE

To determine if telepractice services produce positive expressive language outcomes and whether those outcomes are comparative to live, face-to-face therapy.

## METHODS

**Sources:** 4 indexed databases (Ovid MEDLINE, CINAHL, PubMed and PsychINFO)


	Inclusionary	Exclusionary
<b>POPULATION</b>	Adult (18+) Formal diagnosis of aphasia	Individuals less than 18 years of age Individuals with concomitant language impairment not associated with aphasia
<b>INTERVENTION</b>	Telehealth service delivery Treatment	Combination telehealth and face-to-face service delivery Assessment
<b>COMPARISON</b>	Control group comparison Therapy using face-to-face service delivery model	Combination telehealth and face-to-face service delivery
<b>OUTCOME</b>	Outcomes related to expressive language	Outcomes focused on reading, comprehension, written output, and social/emotional changes
<b>STUDY TYPE</b>	Published in the last 10 years Published in peer-reviewed journal Written in English	Not published in the last 10 days Non-peer reviewed published Non-English publications

**Search Terms:** Broca's Aphasia, Primary Progressive Aphasia, Dysphasia, Telerehabilitation, Telepractice, Telemedicine, Teletherapy, E-Health, Videoconferencing

**Data Extraction:** Articles were read by 3 independent appraisers who then extracted data and input it into a study summary table

**Quality Assessment:** Articles were independently assessed by 3 appraisers using a standardized form

## RESULTS



Outcome Measure	Studies that Reached Statistical Significance
<b>CAT</b>	Pitt, Theodoros, Hill, & Russell (2017)
<b>NSM</b>	Agostini et al. (2014) <sup>*3</sup>
	Macoir, Sauvageau, Boissy, Tousignant, & Tousignant (2017)
	Meyer, Getz, Brennan, Hu, & Friedman (2016) <sup>*4</sup>
	Palmer et al. (2012)
	Woolf et al. (2016) <sup>*5</sup>
<b>WAB</b>	Archibald, Orange & Jamieson (2009)
	Cherney et al. (2011) <sup>*6</sup>
	Choi, Park & Paik (2016)
	Fridler et al. (2012) <sup>*7</sup>
	Steele, Baird, McCall & Haynes (2015)

Outcome Measure	Studies Resulting in Positive Outcomes Without Reaching Statistical Significance
<b>CAT</b>	Pitt, Theodoros, Hill, Rodriguez, & Russell (2017)
<b>NSM</b>	Dechene et al. (2011)
	Ruiter, Rietveld, Hoskam & Van Beers (2016)
<b>WAB</b>	Cherney, L.R., (2010)
	Furnas, & Edmonds (2014)
	Rogalski et al. (2016)

**WAB** = Western Aphasia Battery, **CAT** = Comprehensive Aphasia Test, **NSM** = Non-standardized measures

\* 5 of the 18 studies contained control groups that enabled direct comparison of telepractice service delivery and face-to-face service delivery.

These studies concluded that the differences in outcomes between the two groups were not statistically significant, indicating that telepractice service delivery may produce similar expressive language outcomes when compared to face-to-face service delivery.

One study noted that, upon comparison, telepractice produced greater positive outcomes than the control.

## CONCLUSION

- Studies showed that a telepractice method of service delivery yielded positive expressive language outcomes
- The majority of studies reached the level of statistical significance (11 total), with the remainder producing positive but not statistically significant results (7 total)
- Five studies indicate that similar language based outcomes were received through telepractice when compared to traditional face-to-face service delivery

## LIMITATIONS

- Small sample sizes
- Variability in courses of intervention and assessments used
- Concerns with patient confidentiality and privacy
- Lack of information regarding billable services
- Standardized, clinical training of individuals providing treatment
- Unreliable technology and/or variety of platforms that can be used for therapy

## RECOMMENDATIONS

- Further research to address the feasibility and possible financial benefits of funding telepractice
- Inclusion of targeted, high powered studies which control for patient characteristics
- Studies directly comparing telepractice outcomes to those received through face-to-face intervention

## SELECTED REFERENCES

1. National Aphasia Association (n.d.). *Aphasia fact sheet*. Retrieved from <https://www.aphasia.org/aphasia-resources/aphasia-factsheet/>
2. American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (n.d.). *Telepractice*. (Practice Portal). Retrieved November, 8, 2017, from [www.asha.org/Practice-Portal/Professional-Issues/Telepractice](http://www.asha.org/Practice-Portal/Professional-Issues/Telepractice).
3. Agostini, M., Garzon, M., Benavides-Varela, S., De Pellegrin, S., Bencini, G., Rossi, G., ... Tonin, P. (2014). *Telerehabilitation in Poststroke Anomia* [Research article]. Hindawi Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/706909>
4. Meyer, A., Getz, H., Brennan, D., Hu, T., Friedman, R. (2016). *Telerehabilitation of anomia in primary progressive aphasia*. *Aphasiology*, 30(4), 483-507. doi: 10.1080/02687038.2015.1081142
5. Woolf, C., Cauter, A., Haigh, Z., Galliers, J., Wilson, S., Kessie, A., ... Marshall, J., (2016). *A comparison of remote therapy, face to face therapy and an attention control intervention for people with aphasia: a quasi-randomized controlled feasibility study*. *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 30(4), 359-373, doi: 10.1177/0269215515582074
6. Cherney, L. R., Babbitt, E. M., Kim, K., Hurwitz, R., Ngampatipong, N., & van Vuuren, S. (2011). *Aphasia treatment over the internet: A randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial*. [Clinical Aphasiology Paper]
7. Fridler, N., Rosen, K., Menahemi-Falkov, M., Herzberg, O., Lev, A., Kaplan, D., ... Shani, M. (2012). *Tele-rehabilitation therapy vs. face-to-face therapy for aphasic patients*. eTELEMED 2012: The Fourth International Conference on eHealth, Telemedicine, and Social Medicine, 18-23. Valencia: IARIA.